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Future space and energy technologies place materials in ever more extreme conditions of temperature, stress, pressure and chemical reactivity. Improving the performance of materials under these conditions requires reaching the intrinsic limits of their performance and understanding the atomic and molecular origin of the properties of materials at these intrinsic limits. However, placing materials under these extreme conditions is often difficult experimentally, both in reaching the conditions in the laboratory and in characterizing the performance in these aggressive environments. In this work, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations are employed to investigate the deformation behavior of $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ in a high-stress environment and the chemical reactivity of carbon fiber and amorphous char in a high-temperature, chemically aggressive environment.

Nanoindentation simulations using a spherical indenter are performed on the (100) and (110) planes $\delta$-ZrH$_2$. The effect of the indenter speed and the thickness of the active layer to the nanoindentation are evaluated. We find that the nucleation and movement of $\{100\} <110>$ dislocations are the main mechanisms of the inelastic deformation during nanoindentation on both the (100) and (110) planes. In addition, the load-displacement curve, hardness, and
deformation processes extracted from $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ nanoindentation on the (100) and (110) planes are analyzed.

A high-fidelity model is necessary for understanding the properties of carbon fibers (CFs) and developing the next generation of CFs and related composites. Using kinetic Monte Carlo (kMC) combined with large-scale molecular dynamics simulation, kMC-MD, we generate two types of CF models at a wide range of initial densities (from 1.2g/cm$^3$ to 2.0g/cm$^3$). These fiber core and thin fiber models represent a small section of interior region of large fiber and a very thin carbon fiber with a well-defined surface, respectively. The microstructures of the fiber core and thin fiber are characterized in terms of their shapes, densities, pore size distribution and hybridization state. Moreover, the generated high-fidelity CFs and amorphous char structures are used to study the initial stage of oxidation at various temperatures. The oxidation results are analyzed in terms of the lifetime of molecules and the evolution in the concentration of each species change with time. Six reaction models are proposed to fit the simulation results and reaction rates at various temperatures are obtained. We find that the reaction rates of the key reactions: carbon oxidation and oxygen adsorption follow the Arrhenius law and the activation energy is extracted for these reactions. The long-time evolution of the concentrations of the major species is also predicted by the least-error model.
CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview
Future space and energy technologies place increasing demands on the materials performance through severe conditions, such as high temperature, high stress and pressure, chemically highly reactive environments, and high radiation environments. For example, next-generation nuclear reactors require materials to function in highly corrosive resistance for a long period of time without failure.[1] Space vehicles require outer surface coating materials capable of withstanding higher temperatures and preventing overheating of the vehicle and its payload, including astronauts. These environments require reaching ever closer to the intrinsic limit of materials performance and better understanding the atomic and molecular origin of failure of materials at this intrinsic limit. However, it is often difficult to reproduce these extreme conditions in experiment; moreover, it can also be difficult to instrument experiments for such aggressive conditions. Over the last few decades, advancements in computer technology have reached an impressive level.[2] With the help of the increasing computer power, physics-based computational modeling has been widely used as a complementary approach for industry and academia to predict the properties of materials and to probe physical systems. Such modeling is now a fundamental tool for all disciplines, including Materials Science.[3] Computational models now have the ability to elucidate the key physical mechanisms from atomic to macroscopic dimensions that materials are subject to in these extreme environment affect materials. These behaviors can even result in failure of the material. An understanding of these processes is a prerequisite for ultimately enabling the atomic or molecular structure of materials to be manipulated or adjusted in a predictable manner to create new material and microstructures with extraordinary tolerance to harsh environments. In this work, Molecular Dynamics (MD)
simulation is used to investigate zirconium hydride and carbon-based fiber systems and to develop an understanding of the kinetic processes within these systems within the harsh environments of high temperature and high stress (ZrH₂) and high temperature and high chemical reactivity (carbon fiber).

MD is a classical computation approach to study the temporal evolution of systems of large numbers of atoms.[4] By analyzing the trajectories of atoms and assessing numerous time-dependent observables of the complex system, MD can predict the mechanical, thermodynamic and chemical properties of materials as function of time. Compared with first principles-based methods, such as density functional theory, MD is capable of simulating much larger, and complex dynamic systems at the atomic scale, albeit typically with the sacrifice of the materials fidelity of the simulation. Moreover, the mechanisms and key parameters that can be extracted from MD simulations can serve as a foundation for mesoscale and macroscale computational methods such as phase field and finite element methods.

In this work, MD is used to generate high-fidelity models, predict structural properties of crystal and amorphous structures, compute mechanical properties such as elastic constants, and characterize complex chemistry, such as reaction rates and the evolution of species as a function of time in the simulation. Specifically, MD is used to (1) evaluate the mechanical properties of the zirconium hydride system, to investigate the dislocation mechanism of the nanoindentation of ZrH₂, and (2) to generate the high-fidelity models, characterize the structural properties and simulate the initial stage of the oxidation processes of carbon fiber (CF) and amorphous carbon systems.
1.2 Zirconium-Hydride System

Zirconium alloys such as Zircaloy have been commonly used as fuel cladding in the light water reactors as they exhibit high temperature corrosion resistance and low thermal neutron capture cross-section.[5] Despite the high temperature corrosion resistance, Zr alloys experience a slow corrosion process in which they are oxidized by the coolant water on the outer surface of the fuel cladding. Hydrogen (H$_2$) is produced in this slow oxidation process and then diffuses into the zirconium alloy component. The total hydrogen concentration in the cladding gradually increases from the initial average value of ~3 wt parts per million (wppm) to high values as 600-700 wppm in this process. [6] Zirconium hydride precipitates in the Zircaloy cladding once the hydrogen concentration exceeds the solubility limit. The presence of zirconium hydride significantly degrades the performance of cladding, lowers its ductility and embrittles the material. Moreover, the inhomogeneous distribution of the zirconium hydride stresses the degradation of the material. The detrimental effects of zirconium hydride and the associated microstructure changes impact the safety and long-term reliability of the cladding. It is critical to understand the mechanisms of the deformation and fracture in zirconium hydride to ensure the safe application of these alloys for cladding applications.

Zirconium hydride has been extensively studied and simulated at the atomistic scale[7–12] and it forms various phases, depending on the ratio between the Zr and H atoms in the lattice. The properties of the Zr-H system, such as unstable stacking energy, surface energy and interface energy, have been extensively studied via first principles methods. The effect of hydrogen on the crack growth in $\alpha$- zirconium has been modeled by molecular dynamics. [13] These simulations show that zirconium hydride is a brittle phase in the zirconium matrix that results the reduction of the ductility of zirconium alloys. However, little work[14,15] has been carried out to
investigate and understand the plastic deformation of zirconium hydride, which is not straightforward to probe experimentally. Therefore, understanding and accurately modeling the deformation behaviors of the Zr-H especially for the common δ- ZrH₂ phase is critical to improve the performance of the fuel cladding. MD simulations can help us to develop a better understanding of and to predict the deformation behaviors of nuclear fuel cladding from the atomistic view. Therefore, the mechanical properties and the deformation behavior of δ- ZrH₂ is studied in this dissertation.

1.3 Ablative Materials

Ablative materials are widely used as an important part of thermal protection system (TPS) in spacecraft[16–18]. Specifically, they act maintain the integrity of the vehicles and the safety of payload inside the spacecraft, including astronauts, from the excessive heating during the atmospheric entry process. Specifically, the ablative materials can absorb heat through endothermic pyrolysis of the substrate. Phenolic Impregnated Carbon Ablator (PICA)[19], a carbon reinforced composites with a phenolic resin matrix, is a typical carbon-based ablative material. Because of the sacrificial nature of the PICA, minimizing the thickness of the ablator will reduce the weight of the space vehicle and improve its performance. The post-analysis of the Stardust flight data[17] showed that the actual recession depth of the TPS was 20-60% lower than predicted. Therefore, it is essential to understand the mechanism in the pyrolysis and improve the accuracy of prediction.

Figure 1-1 A. and B. illustrates the general gas-chemistry phenomena that occur in the materials response of PICA during the atmospheric entry. Virgin materials experience thermal degradation and surface recession, captured by the solid pyrolysis, gas transport and ablation chemistry. The phenolic resin thermally decomposes and carbonizes into a low-density
turbostratic graphite in the pyrolysis zone. During the decomposition process, the resin loses mass and releases the pyrolysis gas, which is a mixture of water, hydrogen and hydrocarbons.[20] Phenol and hydrogen are the principle products in the pyrolysis. The pyrolysis gases transport and diffuse into the surfaces through the porous microstructures in the coking and ablation zones. A char can be produced in the pyrolysis gas mixture with possible coking effects by the homogeneous and heterogenous reactions. Meanwhile, the char can be oxidized to CO and re-transformed into the pyrolysis gas. In the ablation zone, materials are removed, and the surface recedes due to the ablation. Depending on the conditions of atmospheric entry, the ablation can be caused by the mechanical erosion, phase changes and heterogeneous reactions. Figure 1-1 C. shows the structure evolution of the carbon fiber and the matrix in the ablation from the microscopic view. During the entry process, the resin is gradually charred, and the carbon fiber is pitted and attacked by the pyrolysis gas. The resin matrix at outer surface is eliminated and transformed into the gas mixture and only carbon fiber is left near the surface, where the carbon atoms in the fiber can be oxidized to CO.
Figure 1-1. Illustration of the phenomenology of porous ablative materials[20] A) Macroscopic phenomenology B) Macroscopic illustration C) Microscopic illustration of pyrolysis process.

PICA has been extensively studied to increase the understanding of the pyrolysis mechanisms and improve the materials performance. However, since the complex physical chemistry involves diffusion, gas-phase chemistry, and gas-surface chemistry in the ablation process under nonequilibrium conditions, the full details of the ablation process are still unclear. Many finite-rate, finite-element and phase field models have been proposed to investigate the oxidation process, structure change and materials response at macroscopic scale. However, these models require many input parameters which are difficult to acquire from experiments or under conditions for which testing facilities do not currently exist. Therefore, atomistic simulations can serve as a foundation and provide input parameters, such as pore size distribution and reaction constants, to the macroscopic models in larger-scale simulations. However, most of current
atomistic simulations focus on the simulation of the pyrolysis of resin by DFT and MD methods. Although fiber and char are important in the ablation process of PICA, because of their complicated microstructure, little work has been done on the properties of them at atomistic scale. Moreover, the complicated characterization process and lengthy pre- and post- data processing increases the difficulty of analyzing the simulations. In this dissertation, high-fidelity carbon fiber and char models are generated at atomistic scale to address the issue of the representation of the microstructure. With the help of Ovito software[21] and python scripts, advanced characterization methods are employed to characterize the structural properties and investigate the oxidation process of carbon fiber and char. The proposed high-fidelity models can be used to investigate the composites of polymer and carbon/char. Moreover, the mechanisms and parameters obtained from the simulations of fiber and char in this work can be utilized by mesoscale models to predict the ablation behavior of PICA.
CHAPTER 2
SIMULATION METHODOLOGY

2.1 Overview

Atomic scale simulations provide fundamental insights and understanding of materials behavior and properties across length scales from Angstroms to hundreds of nanometers. In general, compared with experiments, simulation and modeling analysis is cheap and timesaving; therefore, simulation analysis of materials is widely favored by both academia and industry. Ab-initio methods, such as Density Functional Theory (DFT), and classical methods, such as Molecular Dynamics (MD), are widely employed in atomic scale simulations. Ab-initio methods use quantum-mechanical models to describe the interaction between particles including nuclei and electrons, while empirical potentials use analytic functional forms to describe interactions between atoms. Ab-initio method can be used to investigate the optical, electronic, and magnetic properties of materials. However, the size of the material systems that can be investigated by ab-initio method is limited to a maximum of only about 500 atoms because of the complexity of the quantum mechanical description. Unlike the ab-initio methods, classical methods are capable of modeling dynamical processes in relatively large systems, millions of atoms or more, over a time span from picoseconds to nanoseconds. These larger system sizes and long times are possible because the contribution of electrons to the interaction is ignored at the cost of materials fidelity.

In this dissertation, density functional theory (DFT) and molecular dynamics (MD) are both employed to investigate the microstructure, dynamics, and physical and chemical phenomenon in zirconium hydride and carbon-based systems including carbon fibers (CFs) and char. The following sections gives a brief introduction of each method employed in this work and their key concepts.
2.2 Density Functional Theory

The fundamental equation of quantum mechanics is the time-independent Schrodinger equation:

\[
-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} V(r_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j<i} U(r_i, r_j) \psi = E\psi
\]  

The first term in the bracket defines the kinetic energy of each electron, the second term is the interaction energy between electrons and the atomic nuclei, and the last term is the interaction energy among electrons. In this equation, \( m \) is the electron mass, \( \hbar \) is Planck constant, \( E \) is the ground state energy of the electrons and \( \psi \) is the electronic wave function, which is a function of each spatial coordinates of \( N \) electrons. There are two basic approaches to use quantum mechanical methods for materials. The first approach involves quantum chemical techniques which use systematic approximations to the Schrodinger equation; these methods are ideal for molecules and are dominant in chemistry. The second approach is density functional theory, which is a quantum mechanical method widely used in computational material science and physics to study the structure of many-body systems by replacing the complicated wavefunctions of electrons with the electron density.

There are two fundamental mathematical theorems in density functional theory, proved by Hohenberg and Kohn in 1964. A functional is a mathematic term, in which a function takes another function as input. The first theorem states that “the ground-state” energy from Schrodinger’s equation is a unique functional of the electron density, which means that all properties can be uniquely determined by the ground state electron density. The ground-state energy \( E \) can be expressed as the functional \( E[n(r)] \), where \( n(r) \) is the electron density. Moreover, the entire multi-electron system can also be determined by integrating \( n(r) \); in this
way the Hamiltonian of the system (including the kinetic energy of the electrons, the interaction potential energy between the electrons, and the interaction between the external potential field and the electrons) are also defined. Thereby, all ground state properties of the system are determined, including total energy, ground state wave function, nth excited energy level, and indirectly obtainable properties, such as the elastic behavior.

The second theorem is that the electron density that minimizes the energy of the overall functional is the true electron density corresponding to the full solution of the Schrodinger equation. This theorem implies that the true electron density is the ground state electron density, from which the ground state energy can be obtained. In other words, if the true functional form were known, the relevant electron density could be approached by varying the electron density until the functional was minimized. The biggest improvement of approaches based on the Hohenberg-Kohn theorems over the Schrodinger Equation is that the energy can be written in the functional form of density instead of trying to solve the complicated electronic many-body wave function.

Although the first Hohenberg-Kohn theorem proves the ground state energy is a unique functional of electron density, it still cannot be applied in real calculations since the functional form $E[n(r)]$ is unknown. One year later, with the proposition of Kohn-Sham equation, DFT began to be applied in real calculations. The Kohn-Sham equation is expressed as:

$$
\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(r) + V_H(r) + V_{XC}(r)\right] \psi = \varepsilon_i \psi
$$

(2-2)

where $\varepsilon_i$ is the orbital energy of the corresponding Kohn-Sham orbital and $V(r)$, $V_H(r)$ and $V_{XC}(r)$ are three potentials. $V(r)$ defines the interaction between the collection of nuclei and an electron which also appeared in the Schrodinger Equation, Eq. 2-1. $V_H(r)$ is the Hartree potential, which describes the Coulomb repulsion between one electron considered in Eq. 2-2.
and total electron density. It should be noted that $V_H(r)$ includes the unphysical self-interaction since the electron considered in the Eq. 2-1 is also the part of the total electron density. This self-interaction is corrected in $V_{xc}(r)$, which also defines the exchange and correlation contributions to the single electron.

The Kohn-Sham equation simplifies the N electron many-body problem into the problem of solving a single-particle Schrödinger-like equation. The Kohn-Sham equation can be solved by the algorithm briefly described as following: first, make an initial guess of the electron density. The single-particle wave function can be obtained by using this trial electron density. Then the electron density can be calculated by the Kohn-Sham single particle wave function:

$$n(r) = 2\sum \psi^*\psi$$ (2-3)

where $\psi^*$ is the complex conjugate of $\psi$. After this step, the calculated electron density $n(r)$ is compared with the initial guess of the electron density. If appropriate, previously defined convergence criteria are not reached, the initial guess of electron density is updated in some way and the new wave function is be computed again. This iteration process continues until the convergence criteria are satisfied.

Since the true form of the exchange-correlation functional is not given by the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem, Kohn and Sham proposed the local density approximation (LDA) at the same time as the Kohn-Sham equation. LDA uses a piece-wise uniform electron density function to define the non-uniform exchange-correlation functional. Although the functional form of LDA is relatively simple, LDA has achieved great success in the field of electronic structure computation. In practice, however, it also has a number of failings: most notably, it typically provides an overestimate of the cohesive energy and underestimate of the lattice parameter. Another slightly more sophisticated functional, known as generalized gradient approximation
(GGA), was proposed after LDA, aiming to be more accurate for calculation. Two widely used GGA functional are the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof functional (PBE) and Perdew-Wang functional (PW91).

2.3 Molecular Dynamics

Molecular dynamics is a material calculation method by solving Newton’s Equation of motion:

\[ \mathbf{f}_i = m_i \frac{d\mathbf{r}_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial \mathbf{r}_i} \]

(2-4)

where \(\mathbf{f}_i\) is the force acting on the atom \(i\), \(m_i\) is the mass, \(\mathbf{r}_i\) is the position of atom \(i\) and \(U\) is the potential energy of the system. Through the integration of the equations of motion of the molecules and atoms, the behavior of the system over time can be investigated from a dynamical perspective.

2.3.1 Integration Method

Since the form of the potential energy \(U(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, ..., \mathbf{r}_N)\) is complicated and the number of atoms can be large (up to \(10^6 \sim 10^8\)), Newton’s equation (Eq. 2-4) cannot be integrated in time analytically. With the development of computer technology, we can integrate Newton’s equation of motion using numerical methods. The position and velocity at the next time step are predicted by Taylor series expansion of the position at the current time step:

\[ \mathbf{r}_i(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{r}_i(t) + \mathbf{v}_i(t)\Delta t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t^2 \mathbf{a}(t) + \frac{\Delta t^3}{3!} \frac{d\mathbf{r}_i}{dt^3} + O(\Delta t^4) \]

(2-5)

Similarly:

\[ \mathbf{r}_i(t - \Delta t) = \mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{v}_i(t)\Delta t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t^2 \mathbf{a}(t) - \frac{\Delta t^3}{3!} \frac{d\mathbf{r}_i}{dt^3} + O(\Delta t^4) \]

(2-6)

where \(\mathbf{a}\) is the acceleration of atom \(i\) at time \(t\). Adding equation 2-5 and 2-6 together:

\[ \mathbf{r}(t + \Delta t) + \mathbf{r}(t - \Delta t) = 2\mathbf{r}(t) + \Delta t^2 \mathbf{a}(t) + O(\Delta t^4) \]

(2-7)
Move \( r(t - \Delta t) \) to the right side and discard the higher order quadratic term \( O(\Delta t^4) \) yields:

\[
r(t + \Delta t) = 2r(t) - r(t - \Delta t) + \Delta t^2a(t)
\]

(2-8)

the error of the estimation to the position at next time step is \( O(\Delta t^4) \), where \( \Delta t \) is the time step of the simulation. Therefore, in general, decreasing time step increases the accuracy of the simulation at the expense of increased computation cost. For metallic materials, the time step is typically about 1fs. The velocity at time \( t \) can be obtained by:

\[
v(t) = \frac{r(t + \Delta t) - r(t - \Delta t)}{2\Delta t}
\]

(2-9)

and the error of \( v(t) \) is \( \Delta t^2 \). Based on this method, Swope et al.[22,23] proposed an improved method for the time discretization of Newton’s equations, named the Verlet algorithm. There are several equivalent variants of the Verlet method. One frequently used form is the so-called leapfrog scheme where the velocity is calculated at \( t + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t \) to improve the accuracy of the calculation. The velocity \( v(t + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t) \) is computed from the velocity \( v(t - \frac{1}{2} \Delta t) \) and the force \( F(t) \) is computed at time \( t \):

\[
v\left(t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t\right) = v\left(t - \frac{1}{2}\Delta t\right) + \frac{F}{m}\Delta t
\]

(2-10)

The position \( r(t + \Delta t) \) is determined as:

\[
r(t + \Delta t) = r(t) + v\left(t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t\right)\Delta t
\]

(2-11)

which involves the position \( r \) at time \( t \) and the velocity \( v\left(t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t\right) \). It should be noted that the velocity and position are computed at different times, which reduces the rounding errors. We can compute the force, velocity, and position of atom by above equations. In general, the rest of the dynamical properties of this system can be deduced by these three variables. For example, the pressure of this system can be calculated by:
\[ P = \frac{N k_B T}{V} + \frac{1}{3V} \sum_i r_i \cdot F_i \]  \hspace{1cm} (2-12)

where \( P \) is pressure, \( k_B \) is Boltzmann constant, \( N \) is the number of atoms, \( T \) is the temperature of the system and \( V \) is the volume of the simulation system. Specially, the temperature \( T \) of the system can be calculated by:

\[ T = \sum_i \frac{m_i v_i^2}{k_B N_f} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2-13)

where \( N_f \) is the number of degrees of freedom of the system.

### 2.3.2 Interatomic Potentials

The time-dependent self-consistent field approach introduced by Dirac shows that the motion of nuclei is associated to equation 2-14:

\[ m \ddot{r}(t) = -\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} U_{e Ehr} (\mathbf{R}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2-14)

where \( U_{e Ehr} (\mathbf{R}) \) is Ehrenfest potential. Ehrenfest determined that the potential energy \( U_{e Ehr} (\mathbf{R}) \) on a single hypersurface is the potential energy \( U_0 (\mathbf{R}) \) of the stationary electronic Schrödinger equation for the ground state, where \( \mathbf{R} \) is the coordinates of the nuclei. Since \( U_{e Ehr} (\mathbf{R}) \) is a function of coordinates, the classical approach can be derived if we can solve the stationary electronic Schrödinger equation for a given coordinates configuration. First, the function \( U_{e Ehr} (\mathbf{R}) \) at a number of points can be evaluated and we then can get data points \( (\mathbf{R}, U_{e Ehr} (\mathbf{R})) \). Second, the global potential energy hypersurface can be approximately reconstructed from these data points. Therefore, an approximate potential hypersurface can be estimated as an analytical many-body potential form with a truncation:

\[ U_{e Ehr} (\mathbf{R}) \approx U_{e appr} (\mathbf{R}) = \sum_i U_1 (\mathbf{R}_i) + \sum_{i,j} U_2 (\mathbf{R}_i, \mathbf{R}_j) + \sum_{i,j,k} U_3 (\mathbf{R}_i, \mathbf{R}_j, \mathbf{R}_k) \ldots \]  \hspace{1cm} (2-15)
When the interaction potential is determined, the motion of the nuclei can be evaluated by replacing $U_e^{Ehr}(R)$ with $U_e^{appr}(R)$. Since it’s a drastic approximation from $U_e^{Ehr}(R)$ to $U_e^{appr}(R)$, the analytic form and its parameters of $U_e^{appr}(R)$ plays a decisive role on the accuracy of the potential hypersurface and the subsequent estimation of the nuclei motion. Moreover, quantum mechanical effects are ignored in this approach. However, this method has been proven successful especially for the calculation of macroscopic properties. The construction of potential $U_e^{appr}(R)$ is a challenging and requires much intuition, hard work and skill. However, with the development of machine learning, scientists can now train a neural network to fit a potential automatically with the data acquired from DFT, quantum Monte-Carlo or ab-initio MD computations. In this dissertation, a simple Lennard-Jones 9-3 potential, a Dreiding potential, a Reactive Force Field (ReaxFF) and a Charge-Optimized Many Body (COMB) potential are used. The Lennard-Jones potential is used during the simulation of the graphitization process of the generation of CF model. The classical Dreiding potential is used to describe the interactions between ladder units in the CF. The ReaxFF potential is used to model the oxidation of CF and char, which can describe the bonded system of various elements within a unified framework. The COMB potential is used to describe the Zr-H system which consists of both metallic and ionic bonding.

2.3.2.1 Lennard-Jones potential

Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential is a simple intermolecular potential which models the repulsive and attractive forces. The related potential function is expressed as:

$$U(R) = \alpha \varepsilon \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma}{R} \right)^n - \left( \frac{\sigma}{R} \right)^m \right], \ m < n$$

(2-16)

where $\alpha$ is given as $\frac{1}{n-m} \left( \binom{n}{m} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-m}} \cdot \sigma$ and $\varepsilon$ are the parameters of the potential. The energy scale $\varepsilon$ controls the strength of the repulsive and attractive forces. The value $\sigma$ determines the distance
at which the particle-particle potential is a minimum; this corresponds to the bond length of a diatomic molecule. Here, a variant of LJ potential with \( n = 9, m = 3 \), denoted the LJ 9-3 potential, is used in the graphitization process of thin fiber to constrain ladder units within a specific region. LJ 9-3 can be expressed as:

\[
U(R) = \varepsilon \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma}{R} \right)^9 - \left( \frac{\sigma}{R} \right)^3 \right], \quad ||R|| < r_c
\]

where \( r_c \) is the cutoff distance where the particle and wall no longer interact with each other.

### 2.3.2.2 Dreiding potential

The Dreiding potential[24] is a simple generic force field capable of predicting structures and dynamics of organic and inorganic molecules. The potential energy \( U \) for a molecule is expressed as the nonbonded interaction \( U_{nb} \) that depends on the distance between atoms and the valence-bonded interaction \( U_{val} \) that depends on the bond connections of the structure.

\[
U = U_{val} + U_{nb}
\]

Bond stretch \( U_B \) (two-body), bond-angle bend \( U_A \) (three-body), dihedral angle torsion \( U_T \) (four-body) and inversion terms \( U_I \) (four-body) are considered as the valence interactions in this potential:

\[
U_{val} = U_B + U_A + U_T + U_I
\]

Van der Waals interactions \( U_{vdw} \), electrostatic interactions \( U_Q \) and the hydrogen bonds terms \( U_{hb} \) are considered as the non-bonded interactions:

\[
U_{nb} = U_{vdw} + U_Q + U_{hb}
\]

In this dissertation, the Dreiding potential is used to describe the interaction between atoms of ladder units during the graphitization process.
2.3.2.3 ReaxFF potential
The reactive force field (ReaxFF) for hydrocarbons was first introduced in 2001; it is a bond-order dependent potential to describe bonded, non-bonded interactions and polarizable charge within a unified framework[25]. The ReaxFF potential has been parameterized to describe combinations of many elements across the periodic table, including first row elements (C, H, O, N), metals and semiconductors. ReaxFF uses bond order to explicitly define bonds between atoms; this enables the smooth bond formation and bond breaking. This reactive force field can be briefly described by equation 2-21:

\[
U_{\text{system}} = U_{\text{bond}} + U_{\text{over}} + U_{\text{angle}} + U_{\text{tors}} + U_{\text{Coulomb}} + U_{\text{vdW}}
\]  

(2-21)

where \(U_{\text{bond}}\), \(U_{\text{over}}\), \(U_{\text{angle}}\), \(U_{\text{tors}}\), \(U_{\text{Coulomb}}\) and \(U_{\text{vdW}}\) are bond energy, the energy contribution of over-coordinated atoms, the valence angle energy, torsion angle energy, Coulomb electrostatic interactions, and van der Waals energy. Electronegativity equalization method[26] is used to distribute charges based on the differences in the atomic electronegativities by ReaxFF potential. One advantage of the ReaxFF potential is the capability to model chemical reaction at a similar level of accuracy as quantum mechanics computation, but with a lower computation cost. This enables researchers to study complicated systems than are accessible using quantum-mechanical methods. However, compared with traditional fixed-charge potentials, such as the Embedded Atom Method (EAM) potential, ReaxFF is much more computationally expensive: 50~100x slower. The ReaxFF potential is used here to model the generation and oxidation of char and CF.

2.3.2.4 COMB potential
Similar to ReaxFF potential, the Charge-Optimized Many-Body (COMB) potential is also a bond order potential, which is able to model dissociation and creation of chemical bonds. Both ReaxFF and COMB potentials allow the ionic charge to evolve according to the local
atomic configuration. However, unlike the ReaxFF potential, which is mainly fitted for systems where atoms have similar electronegativity, the COMB potential aims to model systems where atoms of different types with large electronegativity differences. The charges of the atoms are adjusted by the COMB potential during chemical reactions simulations. The total energy described by the third generation COMB potential (COMB3) has the following form:

\[ U_{tot} = U_{self} + U_{coul} + U_{polar} + U_{short} + U_{corr} + U_{vdW} \]  

where \( U_{self} \), \( U_{coul} \) and \( U_{polar} \) are a self-energy term, a Coulomb term and a term describing dipole interactions. These total three terms describe the electrostatic energy of the system. \( U_{short} \), \( U_{corr} \) and \( U_{vdW} \) are terms for describing short-range interactions, energy correction and non-bonded van der Waals interactions, respectively. The COMB potential is approximately as computationally expensive as the with ReaxFF potential. Since there is a large electronegativity difference between Zr and H, the COMB potential is selected to simulation the nanoindentation process of ZrH\(_2\).

2.3.3 Thermostat

The total energy is a constant value in time if the system is mechanically and thermally isolated. In simulations under such conditions, the microcanonical ensemble, the temperature and stress fluctuate. However, in most of simulations, the temperature or the energy of the simulation system needs to be adjusted over time for two reasons. First, the temperature of the system needs to be controlled so as to investigate the physical or chemical properties of the material such as thermal conductivity or elastic constants at a specific temperature. Second, the temperature needs to be adjusted to a desired value as a part of the initial preparation of the simulation system. As shown in equation 2-13, temperature is the macroscopic representation of the thermal motion of
atoms. Therefore, all thermostats rely on the modification of velocities explicitly by rescaling velocities directly or implicitly by adding friction terms in the equations of motion.

Velocity scaling is the most straightforward method to control temperature. The multiplication factor for velocity scaling can be obtained by:

\[ \beta = \sqrt{\frac{E_{kin}^D}{E_{kin}}} = \sqrt{\frac{T^D}{T}} \]  

Then simply multiply the velocity of atom by the factor \( \beta \). In this way, the kinetic energy of the system is transformed from \( E_{kin} \) to \( E_{kin}^D \) and the temperature is transformed from \( T \) to \( T^D \). The advantage of this method is the simplicity. However, it is not recommended for use in production MD runs because it does not correspond to a well-defined thermodynamic ensemble and doesn’t remove undesired correlations in the motions of particles[27].

A widely used thermostat to control temperature in production MD runs is Nose-Hoover thermostat, which controls temperature implicitly by adding a friction term \( \zeta \) in the equations of motion. The equations of motion are adjusted to:

\[ m_i \frac{d^2 r_i}{dt^2} = f_i - \zeta m_i v_i \]  

\[ \frac{d\zeta(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{Q} \left[ \sum_i m_i v_i^2 - \frac{N_f k_B T^D}{2} \right] \]  

where \( Q \) determines the relaxation of the dynamics of the friction and \( T^D \) is the target temperature. In this dissertation, direct velocity scaling method is used to initialize the velocities of atoms at the beginning of the simulation and the Nose-Hoover thermostat is used to adjust the system temperature during the relaxation of simulation system.

### 2.3.4 Ensembles

An ensemble is a collection of all possible systems consisting of different microscopic states for a single macroscopic or thermodynamic state. The number of atoms (\( N \), system energy
(E), temperature (T), volume (V) and pressure (P) defines the thermodynamic state variables in molecular dynamics. Various combinations of state variables can be controlled to produce certain ensembles. The isobaric-isothermal ensemble (NPT), canonical ensemble (NVT) and microcanonical ensemble (NVE) are common ensembles in MD. Since the temperature and pressure is defined in the NPT ensemble, this ensemble is used to relax the system or achieve specific temperature and pressure in the simulation. The volume and temperature are fixed in the NVT ensemble. Therefore, it is used in the oxidation simulation of CF and char to prevent dimensional changes of the simulation cell. In the NVE ensemble, the system energy is conserved and there is no control of the temperature and pressure of the system.
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2.4 Background

Pressurized water reactors (PWRs) and boiling water reactors (BWRs) are widely used as commercial nuclear reactors around the world[28]. Because of their low thermal neutron absorption, high corrosion resistance and excellent mechanical properties, zirconium-based alloys such as Zircaloy[29] and ZIRLO[30] are used as fuel cladding to establish the first barrier in preventing fission products from entering into the primary cooling circuit[31,32]. However, excessive hydrogen generated in the reaction between water and zirconium precipitates as zirconium hydride in the cladding; this can lead to reduction of the fracture toughness and ductility of the clad, ultimately resulting in mechanical failure [33]. Therefore, understanding the deformation behavior of zirconium hydrides will be helpful in improving the design and the performance of the cladding. Many density functional theory (DFT) calculations have been conducted to investigate the ground state properties of the Zr-H system, including the lattice parameter, elastic constants, surface energy, and vacancy and interstitial formation energies [34–36]. Although previous Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations have focused on the investigation of the hydrogen diffusion in Zr[37], deformation processes in the polycrystalline Zr[38], nanoindentation of the Zr[39] and ZrO₂/Zr[40], there has been little simulation work on the deformation process in ZrHₓ.

Nanoindentation has been widely used in experiments to characterize the Young’s modulus, yield stress, fracture toughness and deformation process of zirconium hydride at the

microscale[41–43]. However, it is still very challenging to observe the nucleation and propagation of dislocations at the atomic scale. Nanoindentation by MD simulation has been widely used to characterize the deformation processes at the atomic scale. For example, Li et al. used nanoindentation simulation to identify the defect nucleation process resulting in hardening in gold and gold alloys[44]. Fu et al. performed nanoindentation simulation on VN(001) films, from which they identified the formation mechanism of dislocation loops and the initial plastic deformation during the indentation[45]. Sun et al. used nanoindentation simulation to explore the formation mechanism of the prismatic loops in the 3C-SiC single crystal[46]. Wang et al. investigated the “double cross” splitting mechanism of single-crystal diamond using nanoindentation simulation[47]. In this work we perform MD simulations of nanoindentation on δ-ZrH$_2$ with a spherical indenter to characterize the formation mechanism of dislocations at the atomic level. In section 2.5, the methods employed in the work, the simulation setup, the structure, and the slip system of ZrH$_2$ are introduced. In Section 2.6, the influence of the indenter speed and the influence of the thickness of the active layer during the nanoindentation are analyzed. In Section 2.7, the effects of indentation on the $<$100$>$ and $<$110$>$ surface orientations are discussed. The conclusions are in Section 2.8

### 2.5 Methods and Simulation Setup

#### 2.5.1 Interatomic Potential

There are MEAM[37], EAM/ALLOY[48] and COMB3[49,50] interatomic potentials for the Zr-H binary system. The MEAM and EAM/ALLOY potentials were specifically fitted for the simulation of diffusion of hydrogen atoms in hcp $\alpha$-Zr. As a result, they cannot be expected to give a good description of the hydride. Indeed, both the MEAM and EAM/ALLOY potentials predict the ZrH$_x$ structure to be mechanically unstable, which is contradicted by experiment. By contrast, the COMB3 potential was fitted for Zr-H binary compounds and predicts a stable $\delta$-
ZrH₂ structure at 0 K and room temperature. Zhang et al. showed that the COMB3 potential is capable of describing the homogenous hydride formation path in α-Zr and predicts a formation energy for δ-ZrH₁₀-₂.₀ which in good agreement with DFT results[51]. The stacking fault energy (SFE) of α-Zr and the ζ hydride were also investigated by Zhang et al. For α-Zr, the stable and unstable SFE were found to be 104 and 134 mJ/m² with the COMB potential. The same properties calculated by DFT calculations[52] were 227 and 285 mJ/m² respectively. For ζ-hydride, a negative stable SFE was predicted by both the COMB potential (-51 mJ/m²) and DFT calculations (-95 mJ/m²). Although the COMB potential underestimates the absolute values of SFEs compared with DFT calculations, the shape of SFE curve is similar with that from previous DFT calculations. We thus expect that the dislocation-related plastic processes predicted by COMB will be consistent with those that would be obtained from ab initio DFT if such simulations were possible on such large systems. While ZrH₁.₅₋₁.₇ is most often observed as the cubic δ-phase, the ground state of stoichiometric ZrH₂ is actually the ε-phase, a tetragonally (c/a =0.878) distorted fluorite structure. It was found that COMB3 could not describe both the ε-ZrH₂ and δ-ZrH₂ simultaneously; it was therefore parameterized to the δ-phase only, with the intent that ε-phase compositions be approximated as the δ-phase. Therefore, in this work the nanoindentation simulations on the stoichiometric composition use the cubic structure δ-ZrH₂. Table 0-1 lists the lattice parameter and elastic moduli of δ-ZrH₂ calculated by COMB3 potential. The lattice parameter and bulk modulus, B, agree well with DFT values. However, the individual elastic constants contributing to B = (1/3) (C₁₁ + 2C₁₂) do not agree well with the DFT values. DFT calculations yield low, or in one case negative, values for C₄₄ and the shear constant, G=1/2(C₁₁-C₁₂), indicating the zero-temperature instability of stoichiometric δ-ZrH₂. Mechanical stability is ensured in MD simulations of δ-ZrH₂ by the parameterization of COMB3
potential. Since we are primarily interested in plastic events, particularly those associated with dislocations, the SFE is more important than the elastic constants: errors in the elastic constants can be expected to change the values of the stresses needed for plastic events to take place but should not change the deformation mechanisms. We conclude that although clearly imperfect, the COMB3 potentials offers a physically reasonable description of δ-ZrH₂. We will revisit the issue of the fidelity of the COMB3 potential when we compare the nanoindentation simulations with experimental results.

Table 0-1. Lattice parameter, elastic constants, and moduli of cubic fluorite ZrH₂ calculated by DFT and COMB3 potential.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DFT[34]</th>
<th>DFT[9]</th>
<th>COMB3(this work)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a(Å)</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>4.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₁₁(GPa)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₁₂(GPa)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₄₄(GPa)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B(GPa)</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G(GPa)</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5.2 Simulation Setup

The MD nanoindentation simulations were performed using the LAMMPS code [53]. As shown in the Figure 0-1, the nanoindentation simulation cell consists of three regions: a top, active region, which is indented; a middle, thermostat region, responsible for temperature control; and a bottom, fixed region, in which the atom positions do not move, thereby providing the rigid support for the indentation process. There is also a 150Å vacuum layer above the top surface of the active layer to provide space for the spherical indenter. This spherical indenter is a non-atomic repulsive sphere which exerts forces on the atoms in the active layer according to:

\[ F(r) = -K(r - R)^2 \]  

(3-1)
In equation 3-1, $F$ is the repulsive force on each atom, $K = 10 \frac{eV}{Å^3}$ is the specified force constant, $r$ is the distance from the atom to the center of the indenter, and $R$ is the radius of the indenter, which is set as 45 Å in the all MD simulations in this work. The force is zero if $r \geq R$. A Langevin thermostat[54] is applied to the thermostat layer, thereby maintaining the temperature of the whole system at a relatively constant value; as a result, in our simulations there is no systematic increase in temperature despite the large amount of work done on the system by the indenter. The thicknesses of the thermostat and fixed layers are 90 Å and 10 Å respectively in all simulations; the effect of the choice of thickness of the active layer is discussed below. The length of the square edges of the simulation cell in the x-y plane is set to 200 Å. This is more than twice larger than the diameter of the indenter, thereby providing a region of undeformed material around the indent. Periodic boundary conditions are applied in the plane. Before the indentation simulation, the whole system is equilibrated at 300 K for 10 ps with an integration time step of 1 fs. Charge equilibration in conjunction with the COMB3 potential is performed throughout the entire simulation. The ratio of the indentation depth to the radius of the indenter is intended to minimize pile-up effects[55], in which atoms near the indenter are pushed up above the contact surface. Thus, the maximum indentation depth is set to 25 Å in all simulations to reduce the impact such pile-up effects. The Ovito[21] software tool is used to visualize and analyze the MD simulation result.
Figure 0-1. Sketch of the simulation system. Active layer, thermostat layer and fixed layer are colored as green, orange, and blue, respectively. Only Zr atoms are shown in this figure.

There are three zirconium hydride ZrH$_x$ phases reported in past investigations in zircalloy: the metastable $\gamma$-phase (fcc, c/a>1), the $\delta$-phase with face centered cubic(fcc) structure, and the $\epsilon$-phase with face centered tetragonal structure (fct, c/a<1) [56]. The $\gamma$, $\delta$ and $\epsilon$ phases have similar crystal structures and decreasing c/a ratio with increasing hydrogen concentration. DFT calculations show the energy difference between the fcc structured $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ and $\epsilon$-ZrH$_2$ to be only +7meV/atom[35] and thus fct $\epsilon$-ZrH$_2$ is more stable. For $\epsilon$-ZrH$_2$, c/a = 0.878[52], whereas for $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ c/a = 1. Although this fcc structure is predicted by DFT calculation unstable due to the negative G and C$_{44}$ values in Table 0-1, the mechanical stability is ensured by the COMB3 potential by meeting the following stability conditions for cubic systems:
\[ C_{11} > 0, C_{44} > 0, C_{11} > |C_{12}|, (C_{11} + 2C_{12}) > 0 \] (3-2)

The energy difference between fct $\varepsilon$-ZrH$_2$ and $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ is $+13$meV/atom for the COMB potential and is $-7$meV/atom in DFT calculations; as a result, the fct $\varepsilon$-ZrH$_2$ transforms to fcc $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ after energy minimization using the COMB potential. We note that kT at room temperature is 25 meV/atom; thus, all of these energy differences are very small. For this reason, and because $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ is the most widely observed phase in fuel cladding, the fluorite fcc structure, $\delta$-ZrH$_2$, is used in the simulation in place of $\varepsilon$-ZrH$_2$. The [100] and [110] orientations are chosen in our simulations to investigate the anisotropy of $\delta$-ZrH$_2$. For convenience in the simulation, a body centered tetragonal unit cell, shown in Figure 0-2 A., is used, oriented along the [110], [110] and [100] lattice directions. The relationship between the conventional cubic unit cell and the body centered tetragonal unit cell is illustrated by a supercell of $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ in Figure 0-2 B. This bct unit cell contains both (100) and (110) planes. Indentation at different orientations is achieved by choosing different planes of this bct unit cell. Nanoindentation on the (100) and (110) planes will be studied in this work.

$\delta$-ZrH$_2$ has a fluorite-like structure where Zr atoms occupy the Bravais lattice sites of fcc cubic sublattice and H occupies all the tetrahedral interstitial sites. Experiments on fluorite structured CaF$_2$[57] show that it has a $\{100\}<011>$ primary slip system. There are three orthogonal $\{100\}$ plane in the fluorite structure. Each plane has two orthogonal $<110>$ slip
directions. Therefore, the fluorite structure has six unique slip plane-direction possibilities.

![Figure 0-2](image)

Figure 0-2. The unit cell and conventional cell of $\delta$-ZrH$_2$. A) Body centered tetragonal unit cell of $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ structure. B) A supercell of $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ to illustrate the relationship of the conventional cubic unit cell and body centered tetragonal unit cell where hydrogen atoms in the tetragonal unit cell are colored as blue, Zr atoms are green, the rest of H atoms are grey.

2.5.3 Theory and Analysis Method

2.5.3.1 Hertz Theory

The force vs. indentation depth curves are obtained from nanoindentation simulations performed in LAMMPS. As will be shown in Section 2.6, the deformation shows an elastic response before the first plastic event. Therefore, Hertz theory[58,59], based on contact mechanics, is used to analyze the elastic deformation behaviors in our simulations:

$$P = \frac{4}{3} E^* R^{\frac{1}{2}} h^{\frac{3}{2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3-3)

where $P$ is the load on the indenter, $R$ is the radius of the spherical indenter and $h$ is the indenter depth. The reduced Young’s modulus, $E^*$, is:

$$E^* = \left( \frac{1 - v_s^2}{E_s} + \frac{1 - v_i^2}{E_i} \right)^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3-4)
where $E_s$ and $\nu_s$ and $E_i$ and $\nu_i$ are Young’s moduli and Poisson ratios of the sample and indenter, respectively. In this work, the force-field indenter is treated as an ideal hard indenter with $E_i = \infty$ and $\nu_i = 0$. Thus $E^* = \frac{E_s}{1-\nu_s^2}$.

The hardness, $H$, can also be extracted from nanoindentation simulations and compared with the prediction of Hertz Law:

$$H = \frac{P}{A} \quad (3-5)$$

where $A$ is the contact area between the indenter and the surface of the sample. The contact area $A$ is given by

$$A = \pi h(2R - h) \quad (3-6)$$

where $h$ is the indentation depth and $R$ is the radius of the spherical indenter. In this work, we calculate Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio for the (100) and (110) surfaces independently by Hertz Law. The values of Young’s modulus, Poisson’s ratio, and reduced Young’s modulus computed by the elastic constants are shown in Table 0-2 and are used in contact mechanics calculations for the (100) and (110) surfaces. For isotropic materials, the Poisson’s ratio should be within the bounds -1 to 0.5. However, because of the anisotropy of ZrH$_2$, the Poisson’s ratio is different in various directions, and can be negative or larger than 0.5 at the specific direction. It is worth noting that the Poisson’s ratio on the (110) surface is larger than 0.5, which is measured at the measurement direction $[01\bar{1}]$ and stretched direction $[011]$. Cubic materials can have positive values larger than 0.5 along diagonal direction of the face of the conventional cubic unit cell; examples include RbBr (0.64), KI (0.61) and ReO$_3$ (0.59); or negative values such as Li (-0.52), CuAlNi (-0.65). [60–63]. Therefore, it is not unphysical that ZrH$_2$ has a Poisson’s ratio larger than 0.5 at direction $[01\bar{1}]$. For comparison, the Poisson’s ratio $\nu_0$ in the [100] direction
was determined in a previous DFT calculation[34] to be 0.397, whereas COMB yields 0.055. The difference between COMB and DFT results of Poisson’s ratios is a direct result of the overestimation of $C_{11}$ and underestimation of $C_{12}$, which will be discussed in the Section 2.6.2. The relationship between loads from two different indentation scenarios with different indenter radii and depth for the same material can be expressed as

$$\frac{P_1}{P_2} = \sqrt{\frac{R_1}{R_2} \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2}\right)^2}$$

(3-7)

where $P_1$ and $P_2$ are the loads, $h_1$ and $h_2$ are indentation depths. To connect the simulations to experiment, the experimental results, which are on $\mu N$ scale, will be scaled to the $nN$-scale simulations according to equation 3-7.

Table 0-2. Young’s modulus, Poisson’s ratio, and reduced Young’s modulus of $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ in the [100] and [110] orientations obtained from the COMB3 potential.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orientation</th>
<th>$E_s$(Gpa)</th>
<th>$\nu_s$</th>
<th>$E^*$ (Gpa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[100]</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[110]</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5.3.2 Local atomic symmetry analysis

The Central Symmetry Parameter (CSP)[64], widely used to characterize the symmetry breaking in an atom’s local environment, can indicate the degree of disorder in the region of the deformation, especially for bcc and fcc structures. Therefore, we characterize the local degree of disorder during indentation by the CSP value. Because Zr atoms are located on the fcc sublattice in $\delta$-ZrH$_2$, the CSP value is also useful to characterize the local disorder of Zr atoms in this work. The CSP for any given atom is defined by

$$CSP = \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \left| R_i + R_{i+N/2} \right|^2$$

(3-8)
where \( N \) is the number of the nearest neighbors of one atom, \( \mathbf{R}_i \) and \( \mathbf{R}_{i+N/2} \) are the vectors from the central atom to a pair of nearest neighbor atoms which has the smallest value of \( |\mathbf{R}_i + \mathbf{R}_{i+N/2}| \).

As a result, The CSP is zero for an atom located on a lattice site in a perfect symmetric crystal structure such as bcc or fcc. If there is a vacancy or an interstitial atom in the lattice, then the CSP value of the atoms in the vicinity of the defect will be not zero. Also, the CSP value of the atoms located in an inhomogeneously distorted lattice will be non-zero. Therefore, the CSP value represents the degree of symmetry breaking in the lattice. Because the fcc structure has 12 nearest atoms, the value of \( N \) is set to 12.

### 2.6 Analysis of Loading and Unloading

Nanoindentation is a well-established technique to investigate the time-dependent deformation behavior and mechanical properties of materials. Nanoindentation by MD simulation makes it possible to characterize the nucleation and growth of dislocations at an atomic scale. During the loading process, the force applied on the indenter gradually increases as the indenter moves deeper into the sample. The load vs. displacement curve should match the solution of elastic contact mechanics developed by Hertz\[65\] before any sudden drop in the load curve. The point where the sudden load drop occurs is often referred as the yield point in the nanoindentation; One phenomenon that is sometimes observed in experiments is a sudden displacement burst of the indenter, represented as a plateau in the load vs. displacement curve; this is often referred to as pop-in behavior. If the force follows a 3/2 power rule with indenter depth before the yield point, according to the equation 3-3 the loading is considered as elastic. The load and unload force vs. depth curve will be identical in the elastic region. Both experiments\[66–68\] and MD simulations\[45,46,69\] observed the nucleation of the dislocations at the yield point, which associates the elastic-plastic transition with pop-in. However,
nanoindentation experiments on aluminum [70] also show that it is possible for there to be some plasticity or nucleation of the dislocation before the pop-in during indentation, i.e., while the material still displays elastic-like behavior. The indentation simulation could also be affected by factors such as the speed of the indenter and the size of the thin film. Therefore, we will discuss the influences of these factors, Hertz law and hardness in this section. The relations between yield point and dislocations will be discussed in section 2.7.

2.6.1 The Effect of Indenter Speed and Active Layer Thickness

The system dimensions in these MD simulations are of the order of 100Å. We anticipate that the indentation simulation result may be influenced by factors such as the thickness of the active layers and the speed of the indenter. In order to investigate the influence of these factors, we varied both the velocity of indenter and the thickness of the layers. Although the loading rates of 25-50 ms⁻¹ in the simulations are much faster than experiments, which ranges from nm s⁻¹ to 1 mm s⁻¹ [71], they are similar to the indentation rates in other MD simulations. [40,44,45,72]. Although this indentation speed is high, all of the processes seen in the simulation are at speeds below the sound speed 2517 ms⁻¹[36] and are thus, to a large extent, capturing the same physics as would be captured by very slow speed simulations. It can be clearly seen in Figure 0-3 A. that the load-displacement curves are essentially the same at the two speeds. Therefore, to improve the simulation efficiency, 50 ms⁻¹ is selected as the velocity of the indenter for the following simulations.

To investigate the influence of the thickness of the active layer, we simulated several nanoindentations with thicknesses of the active layer ranging from 30Å to 130Å on both the (100) and (110) planes. Figure 0-3 B. and C. shows that the thickness of the active layer affects the load vs. displacement curve. It can be clearly seen in Figure 0-3 B. that with an increase of
the thickness of the active layer, the load is slightly lower, especially for small thicknesses. When the active layer thickness is small, the so-called substrate effect[73] is obvious. The influence due to stiffness of the presence of the fixed layer cannot be neglected. When the total thickness is larger, the substrate effect is weaker. Therefore, the influence of the thickness of the different active layers can be ascribed to substrate effects. For the indentation on the (100) plane this thickness is ~90 Å; for the indentation on the (110) plane it is a little larger, ~110 Å. For all thicknesses, however, the indentation curves have the same general shape. Figure 0-3 B. shows that the force and indentation depth for the first plastic event on the (100) plane does increase with increasing thickness; however, the difference between the 90 Å and 110 Å thicknesses is small, indicating that the asymptotic regime has been reached. These change in the load-displacement curve’s shape with active layer’s thickness is most likely caused by the rigidity of the lower fixed layer. The deferred plastic event on thicker active layers indicates that the simulation cell shows more elastic behavior than with smaller thickness active layers. For a thicker active layer, the dislocations have more space to grow before interacting with the atoms in the fixed layer. In addition, it seems that this rigid layer prevents their transmission and results in a stress in the system that tends to impede further plasticity events. For indentation on the (110) plane, because no noticeable force drop is found in the load-displacement curve, we don’t find a similarly impeded plastic deformation (see Figure 0-3 C.). As for the dislocations themselves, their structures appear to be independent of the thickness of the active layer. Therefore, the nanoindentation simulations with thickness 110Å and 130Å are selected for dislocation analysis in the following sections for the (100) and (110) planes, respectively. Similar
substrate effects was also seen in the nanoindentation simulations of Zr and Ni[39,74].

Figure 0-3. The force vs. indentation depth curves with different settings. A) Force vs. indentation depth curves for δ-ZrH$_2$ nanoindentation simulation on the (110) surface at two different speeds with the active layer thickness of 60Å. B-C) Force vs. indentation depth curve for active layers of various thicknesses during nanoindentation simulations on the (100) and (110) surfaces, respectively.

2.6.2 Hertz Law and Hardness

Before the first plastic deformation occurs, the mechanical response during the simulation should be elastic. Therefore, the MD simulation results should be consistent with Hertz analysis.
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From equation 3-3, the force should be linear in $h^2$. Figure 0-4 shows the load is indeed linear with $h^2$ for both orientations and all different thicknesses. The reduced Young’s modulus fitted by the linear regression of each curve is shown in Table 0-3 for both (100) and (100) planes. Interestingly, the (100) plane on the 30 Å system gives an elastic response that matches Hertz Law while the 110 Å thickness, which we expect to be more physically realistic, is softer than the Hertz Law prediction. The curve for the 110 Å active layer thickness for indentation on the (110) plane matches well with the prediction of Hertz theory. These trends are similar to those seen in nanoindentation simulations of Zr and ZrO$_2$[39,40], where the load-displacement curve for nanoindentation on the Zr (0001) surface is steeper than the Hertz law predictions, while load-displacement curve obtained for the ZrO$_2$/Zr (110)/(100) surface is softer than the Hertz law predictions. We attribute the deviation between the simulations and the Hertz prediction to the simulation system size. Overall, despite these quantitative differences, the load-displacement curves are consistent with the Hertz Law predictions.
Figure 0-4. Force vs. $h^2$ for active layers of different thickness ranging from 30 Å to 130 Å during nanoindentation simulations on the (100) and (110) planes, respectively. The predictions of Hertz theory are also shown.

Table 0-3. The fitted reduced Young’s modulus of $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ obtained from the load vs. force curves of various thickness of active layers in the [100] and [110] orientations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Active layer thickness(Å)</th>
<th>$E^*$ in [100] orientation (Gpa)</th>
<th>$E^*$ in [110] orientation (Gpa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now we examine the hardness vs. depth curve. Both curves for the [100] and [110] orientations in Figure 0-5 show that the hardness curve levels off at about 40 GPa when the indentation depth reaches 15 Å. Interestingly, unlike the elastic properties, there is not a significant dependence of the hardness on the thickness of the active layers. The reported experimental value for the hardness of ZrH$_2$ is 3-4 GPa[75]. Although the hardness of a material is mainly related to the plastic property of materials, both elastic deformation and plastic deformation contribute to the hardness[76,77]. The large difference between the predicted and
The experimental harness is mainly caused by the differences in the experimental and COMB3 elastic constants. The COMB3 potential overestimates the $C_{11}$ value and underestimates the Poisson’s ratio in the [100] orientation, which results in the overestimation of reduced Young’s modulus. The reduced Young’s modulus of the δ-ZrH$_2$ for the (100) surface is 362 GPa, compared with the value predicted by DFT of 53 GPa. To remove the influence of the overestimate of reduced Young’s modulus, dimensionless load ($\frac{P}{E^*}$) and dimensionless hardness ($\frac{H}{E^*}$) are calculated and showed in Figure 0-6. Indentation experiment results [41] are also plotted in Figure 0-6 for comparison after rescaling the load and indenter size according to equation 3-7. As Figure 0-6 shows, the overall dimensionless load vs. depth curve of simulation match well with the experiments. In addition, the dimensionless hardness extracted from the indentation simulation has similar trends and is at the same level as the experimental value. Despite these quantitative differences, the overestimation of the hardness is unlikely to significantly modify the dislocation processes present in the nanoindentation simulation.
Figure 0-5. Hardness vs. indentation depth with active layers of different thickness ranging from 30Å to 130Å during nanoindentation simulation on the (100) and (110) planes, respectively.

Figure 0-6. A) Dimensionless load vs. indentation depth B) Dimensionless hardness vs. indentation depth for (100) plane extracted from simulations and experiment.

2.7 Atomic-level Mechanisms

2.7.1 Nanoindentation on the (100) Surface

Figure 0-7 A. shows the load-displacement curve for the nanoindentation simulation on the (100) δ-ZrH₂ plane. The first load drop occurs at an indentation of 13.6 Å, which represents the yield point. Figure 0-7 B. shows the sum of CSP values of the atoms vs. indentation depth of nanoindentation on the (100) δ-ZrH₂ plane. Figure 0-7 C.-F. show atomic-level processes that take place during indentation. Only the Zr atoms are shown, colored by their CSP value, showing only those with CSP values larger than 3, i.e., those in environments significantly different from perfect fcc. We thus only see atoms at the surface (red atoms) and those atoms that are part of the structure developed during the plastic deformation. As the depth of the indent increases, the amount of disorder in the system increases and the total sum of the CSP values increases. The CSP value begins to significantly increase after only 5Å of indentation, Figure 0-7 B. After the
first load drop occurs, at 13.6 Å, the increase in the sum of CSP values slows down at 14 Å indicating dislocation propagation and nucleation.

Figure 0-7 C.-F. show the evolution of the microstructure during nanoindentation on the (100) plane. Figure 0-7 C. clearly shows some plasticity begins at an indentation depth of 10 Å, i.e., before the yield point. This phenomenon, in which non-uniform deformation occurs prior to the yield point but the system still has elastic-like loading, is called pseudo-Hertzian behavior[78] and has been observed previously. Kiely[79] et al. observed some minor events consisting of the nucleation of dislocation loops occurred prior to the pop in during the nanoindentation on pristine gold (111) surface. Minor et al. [70] found the onset of plasticity before the load-drop behavior from in-situ TEM nanoindentation on Al grains and attributed it as the dislocation strengthening caused by the dimensional confinement. Salehinia et al.[80] shows that dislocations may nucleate prior to the yield point with defects in the vicinity of the nanoindentation on Ni with MD. Michael[78] et al. concluded this plastic behavior prior to the yield point is most likely the result of mobile defects in the stressed volume, an uneven surface being flattened (not relevant in simulations) or the presences of vacancies near the vicinity of the indent.

In this work, all simulations are performed at 300 K. A few Zr atoms may still deviate from the perfect FCC sublattice sites in the system after the equilibration because of the thermal motion and have large CSP values. Additionally, moderate temperatures, such as 300 K, can accelerate the nucleation and dislocation motion. Both these slightly disordered atoms and temperature may contribute to the plasticity before the yield point and to the pseudo-Hertzian behavior. Disregarding the surface atoms, the atoms shown in Figure 0-7 C. have a CSP value slightly larger than their neighbors, indicating that dislocations have started to nucleate. With
increased indentation depth, the dislocations gradually grow and move to the periodic edge of the simulation cell. Figure 0-7 D.-F. show that four distinct dislocations grow along <110> directions. Because the x-axis and y-axis both belong to <110> direction family, these dislocations are symmetric in the x-y plane. Thus, all of these dislocations are of the {100} <110> type.

To further investigate the pseudo-Hertzian behavior, nanoindentations on the (100) δ-ZrH₂ plane with indentation depths of 3Å, 6Å, 10Å and14Å were simulated. As shown in Figure 0-8 A., the load-displacement curve during the loading and unloading process for indentation depth 3Å are almost identical indicating that no plasticity occurred during the simulation. For indentation depth 6Å, which is slightly over the nucleation point of 5Å, the force on indenter during unloading is slight smaller than during loading. When increasing the total indentation depth, the difference of the force between loading and unloading increases and the enclosed area of the load-displacement curve increases. Figure 0-8 B.-D. shows the final microstructure of the δ-ZrH₂ when the indenter finished the unloading process and was no longer in contact with the δ-ZrH₂ (100) surface. For the total indentation depth 3Å, Figure 0-9 B., no dislocation is found after unloading, which indicates δ-ZrH₂ has an elastic response during the whole nanoindentation process. However, for the total indentation depths of 6Å and 10Å, obvious dislocations are observed after unloading, as shown in the Figure 0-8 C. and D., which indicate the plasticity occurs during the nanoindentation and is irreversible.
Figure 0-7. The indentation force vs. depth curves and simulation snapshots at different depth. A) The load-displacement curve of the nanoindentation simulation on the (100) $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ plane. B) The sum of CSP values of the atoms vs. indentation depth of nanoindentation on the (100) $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ plane. (Only atoms of which CSP > 3 are counted) C-F) The snapshots of microstructure evolution at different indentation depth during nanoindentation simulation on the (100) $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ plane.

The load vs. depth curve for the [100] orientation in Figure 0-7 A shows that the first drop in load occurs at an indentation depth of 13.6Å. Originally, Zr atoms sit on an fcc sublattice in the $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ and thus have 12 coordination neighbors. During nanoindentation there is a highly deformed region, with 13-16 coordinated atoms, near the indenter, just beneath the surface at the indentation depth of 13.6 Å, colored as red in Figure 0-9 A. and B. The xz-(100) and xz-(010) sliced planes at the center of the indenter are presented in Figure 0-9 G.-I. The atoms indicated in the circle at the xz-(010) plane in Figure 0-9 B. show that the atoms of the highly deformed region move to a closed packed structure with ABAB stacking in the (010) direction. A similar transformation was also observed in nanoindentation simulations of ZrO$_2$ by Lu et al.[40]
contrast to the ZrO$_2$ simulation, in which O atoms were shoved from the Zr atoms, the hydrogen sublattice does not change during the indentation of $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ from depth 5 Å to 13.6 Å, except in the highly deformed region, presumably because H atoms are very small in comparison to O atoms, which are larger than Zr atoms. Figure 0-9 C. shows the H atoms still in a simple cubic sublattice with only minor distortions at depth 13.6 Å. Thus, although the Zr sublattice is distorted and transformed from fcc to ABAB stacking, the H atoms still have enough space to remain in their original tetrahedral interstitial sites.

The empirical covalent radii of H and O are 37 pm and 73 pm, respectively. Based on the lattice parameters of $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ and ZrO$_2$, the ratio of the size of the H/O sitting in the tetrahedral vacancy sites to the size of tetrahedron vacancy in ZrH$_2$ and ZrO$_2$ fcc lattice are 4.3% and 29.8% respectively, calculated by the equation $\frac{4r^3}{3V_{tetra}}$, where $r$ is the radius of H/O atom and $V_{tetra}$ is
the size of the tetragonal site. In addition, because H atoms are small, the lattice parameter of \( \delta \)-ZrH\(_2\) is mainly determined by the size of the Zr atoms, while the lattice parameter of ZrO\(_2\) is mainly determined by the size of oxygen atoms. As a result, oxygen atoms occupy a larger space in the fcc lattice than the hydrogen atoms, accounting for the smaller distortion seen in the H sublattice compared to the O sublattice during nanoindentation simulations.

The first load drop stops at an indentation depth of 14.3 Å, Figure 0-7 A., at which the Zr atoms in the highly deformed region become so disordered that they can no longer maintain ABAB closed packing. Comparing Figure 0-9 D. with Figure 0-9 A., the highly deformed region shrank from a rectangle to a triangle in the (100) plane. The atoms within the triangle in Figure 0-9 B. have lower coordination numbers and are more disordered. Comparing Figure 0-9 E. to Figure 0-9 B., a different perspective of the same process as in panels A. and D., the atoms within the indicated triangle in E. also become more disordered. The total number of atoms within the highly deformed region decreases, which is manifested as an inflection point in the total CSP value vs. indentation depth curve at depth 14.3 Å, as shown in Figure 0-7 B.

Comparison of Figure 0-9 C. with F. shows that at an indentation of 14.3 Å the H atoms also cannot maintain a simple cubic lattice and become disordered.
Figure 0-9. Snapshots of the dislocations before and after the yielding point for the nanoindentation on the (100) surface. A-B) (100) and (010) sliced planes of δ-ZrH₂ at an indenter depth of 13.6Å during simulation for the [001] orientation C) Thin slice of the H (100) plane in δ-ZrH₂ at 13.6Å, where H atoms are colored yellow and Zr atoms are hidden. D-E) (100) and (010) sliced planes of δ-ZrH₂ at a depth of 14.3Å during simulation for the [001] orientation F) Thin slice of the H (010) plane in δ-ZrH₂ at 14.3Å, where H atoms are colored yellow and Zr atoms are hidden. G-I) The slice direction and which part we show for above figures. Atoms with CSP value < 3 and H atoms have been hidden in the (100) and (010) planes of δ-ZrH₂.

Figure 0-10 shows snapshots of δ-ZrH₂ at different indentation depths from three orientations. As shown in Figure 0-10 A.-C., four {100} <110> dislocations grow obliquely downwards beneath the xy-(001) surface. In addition, another dislocation grows under the lowest point of the contact area due to the compressive force directly from the indenter. This plastic deformation occurs in the highly deformed region, where all five dislocations start to nucleate, causing the dramatic load drop at the indentation of 13.6Å. At an indentation depth of 14.3Å,
another four \{100\} \langle110\rangle dislocations are nucleated, releasing the internal stress inside the bulk ZrH$_2$, making the load largely constant up to 16Å. These dislocations are indicated by the yellow circles in Figure 0-10 E. and red arrows in Figure 0-10 F. These dislocations grow just beneath and parallel to the surface of δ-ZrH$_2$. In order to better illustrate these dislocations, the propagation directions of these fully formed \{100\} \langle110\rangle dislocations are indicated by red arrows in Figure 0-11. The force continues to increase until 20Å, at which there is a small plateau in the load; at this load small \{100\} \langle110\rangle dislocations begin to nucleate as shown in the yellow circles in Figure 0-10 I. and Figure 0-11, releasing some of the internal stress during deformation. In conclusion, before the first load drop, four \{100\} \langle110\rangle dislocations grow obliquely under the surface. After the first load drop, another four \{100\} \langle110\rangle dislocations begin to grow just beneath and parallel to the surface of the bulk δ-ZrH$_2$. There are also some small dislocations nucleated, as shown in the yellow circle of Figure 0-11, which are not reflected as a load drop or plateau in the load-displacement curve during the nanoindentation.
Figure 0-10. Selected snapshots of $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ at different indentation depths from a 3D perspective, A, D and G, side view, B, E and h, and top view, E, F, and I, during nanoindentation simulations for the [100] orientation. Atoms with CSP values < 3 and surface atoms have been hidden.

Figure 0-11. Selected snapshots of $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ at an indentation depth of 20Å and 25Å from a 3D perspective during nanoindentation simulations for the [100] orientation. Atoms with a CSP value < 3 and surface atoms have been hidden.
2.7.2 Nanoindentation on (110) Surface

We analyze the deformation during nanoindentation on the (110) surface in a similar manner to Section 2.7.1. Figure 0-5 B. shows there is no clear load drop in the force vs. displacement curve; rather, the force gradually increases with indentation depth and the curve is narrower compared to the (100) surface. From Figure 3-12 A.-D., we see that the number of highly disordered atoms gradually increases with increasing indentation depth. Unlike the indentation on the (001) surface, the deformed region for the <011> orientation extends beneath the whole (011) xy surface. Also, as the red arrow shows in Figure 3-12 D., the {100} <011> dislocation gradually forms a spike just below the indenter. Figure 3-12 E.-F. shows that the (01\overline{1}) side plane of \(\delta\)-ZrH\(_2\) is less disordered than the (100) side plane. The atoms forming the (01\overline{1}) planes are mostly green, which means their CSP is smaller than the (100) plane and they are less disordered. This is because (100) plane can move along the <01\overline{1}> direction, thereby reducing the atoms’ asymmetry. However, inside the (100) plane, we see that two green regions form along the direction of the red arrows. These also show that the (010) plane slips along in the <01\overline{1}> direction. Because the (010) <01\overline{1}> dislocation and the (0\overline{1}0) <0\overline{1}1> dislocation move toward each other through the periodic boundary, these two dislocations inhibit each other’s growth, causing the triangular region of disordered atoms shown in Figure 3-12 D.-F.

During indentation, small dislocations grow along the <110> direction, as shown by red and yellow circles in Figure 3-12 F. To further illustrate this, two snapshots at maximum indentation depths of 20\(\text{Å}\) and 10\(\text{Å}\) during unloading are shown in Figure 3-12 G-H. Similar to the dislocations shown in Figure 3-12 E.-F., some small dislocations, indicated by red arrows in Figure 3-12 G-H., grow along different [110] directions. Some (010) and (0\overline{1}0) planes, whose edges are shown on the (100) side plane as red lines extending to the surface, form an inverted
triangle shape. These planes slip from one side of the $<0\bar{1}\bar{1}>$ direction, overcoming the resistance force dislocations on the $(00\bar{1})$ plane, cross the center of the $(100)$ side plane and become the red lines on the $[100]$ plane. Thus, Figure 3-12 E.-H. show one $(0\bar{1}0)$ $<0\bar{1}\bar{1}>$ and one $(010)$ $<0\bar{1}\bar{1}>$ dislocation growing on the $(100)$ side plane and moving towards each other. Compared with Figure 3-12 E. and F., most of the disordered atoms in Figure 3-12 G. and H. are colored green, indicating weak disorder. During the unloading process, some dislocations recede and the degree of disorder at the upper corners on the $(100)$ side plane reduces. However, the maximum depth of the center spike-shaped dislocation remains the same during the process.

The cross-sectional views of the $(110)$ and $(100)$ planes are shown in Figure 0-13 A. and B. It can be clearly seen in Figure 0-13 A. that there is a distinct M-shape hollow surrounding the center spike dislocation. Because the atoms with a CSP value smaller than 3 are hidden, this hollow represents atoms with very low disorder; i.e., they are close to being on symmetric lattice sites. Atoms just beneath the indenter could either slip along the $<0\bar{1}\bar{1}>$ direction parallel to the page or along the $<0\bar{1}\bar{1}>$ direction following the movement of the indenter. Therefore, several different {100}[100] dislocations grow from these atoms, which significantly decreases the amount of disorder and produces the hollow in Figure 0-13 A. and B. Another point of interest in Figure 0-13 B. is that some Zr atoms, shown in the highly deformed region and marked by a yellow circle, change structure to AAA closed packing, whereas atoms in the spike dislocation region, marked by a red circle, remain in an fcc structure. As in the case of the [100] orientation, most hydrogen atoms remain in the simple cubic sublattice with only a few H atoms very close to the indenter becoming disordered.
Figure 0-12. The selected snapshots of δ-ZrH₂ at different indentation depths from a 3D perspective during nanoindentation simulations for the [110] orientation. Atoms with a CSP value < 3 have been hidden.
2.8 Summary

Molecular dynamics simulations of nanoindentation on the (100) and (110) planes of δ-ZrH₂ at 300 K are performed to investigate the deformation behavior and mechanisms using the COMB3 potential. It is found that the indenter speed doesn’t have a significant influence on the indentation results. When the thickness of the active layer is small, the substrate effect is clear. The substrate effect becomes weaker with an increasing thickness of the active layer. The MD simulation results agree well with Hertz Law predictions in elastic region. While the calculated harnesses from the indentation of the (100) and (110) surfaces of δ-ZrH₂ are higher than the experimental values, the dimensionless load, ($\frac{P}{E'c}$), and hardness, ($\frac{H}{E'c}$), matches well with experiment. Yield behaviors are observed on the (100) surface but not on the (110) surface. In the highly deformed region, the Zr atom sublattice changes from an fcc structure to ABAB close packing during nanoindentation until the first load drop, while the H atom sublattice remains a
simple cubic lattice due to the small atom size. The Zr and H atoms in the highly deformed region become totally disordered after the load drop for nanoindentation on the (100) plane. We find the dominant dislocation in the nanoindentation for both the (100) and (110) surfaces are \{100\} <110> dislocations. The newly nucleated dislocations grow parallel with the surface after yielding for indentation on the (100) surface with the increase of the depth. Small dislocations are also observed to nucleate and grow along the <110> directions with the increase of the indentation depth. In summary, we have clarified the deformation mechanism of \(\delta\)-ZrH\(_2\) under nanoindentation and described the factors that may influence the deformation, which not only strengthens the understanding of how zirconium hydrides result in zircaloy cladding failure but should also be helpful for developing the next generation of cladding.
CHAPTER 4  
GENERATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF AN IMPROVED CARBON FIBER MODEL†

3.1 Background

Carbon fibers (CFs) have been developed for more than half a century because of their low density, high elastic moduli, and good thermochemical stability[81]. One powerful property of carbon fibers is that their thermo-physical and mechanical properties span a wide range and can be easily modified for the desired applications[82,83]. Therefore, carbon fiber composites, particularly those with polymeric matrices, have been widely used in, construction, automobile parts, aircraft, space craft, and for sports equipment [84–86]. Carbon fiber composites such as the phenolic-impregnated carbon ablator (PICA)[87], are uses in thermal protection systems in spacecrafts due to their light weight and high temperature resistance.

The processing of CFs requires a series of steps, starting with the spinning of the precursor, such as rayon, pitch or Polyacrylonitrile (PAN), followed by stabilization in air and carbonization in an inert environment, both of which involve complex chemical reactions and physical transformations[81]. The choice of the precursor and processing treatments controls the microstructure of CFs, including crystallite size, alignment of crystallites, pore size distribution and the degree of graphitization, which together largely determine the density, modulus, strength, and thermal conductivity. For instance, improvement of the tensile modulus of PAN-based carbon fiber can be achieved by increasing the alignment of the crystallites through increasing the carbonization temperature, albeit at the expense of the tensile and compressive strength[88].

Since microstructure is crucial to the performance boost of the CFs and their composites, the need to understand the microstructure of CFs is increasing. Previous studies characterized the microstructure of CF by means of X-ray scattering, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HTEM)[89–91]. These characterizations reveal many sp\(^2\) sheets in the CFs with the fiber axis imperfectly aligned. Defects and sp\(^3\) amorphous carbon can also accompany the sp\(^2\) graphitic sheets because complete graphitization is almost never possible [92]. The graphitic sheets can be arranged to form radial, random, onionskin, skin-core or hollow porous structures, depending on the precursors and processing methods[93]. The complexity of the CF structures makes it difficult to build computational models for a deeper understanding of the structure and properties, which in turn makes it difficult to improve the performance of CF and their composites.

Atomic-resolution computer simulations, specifically Molecular Dynamics (MD), can capture many of the key properties of the precursors[94,95], as well as the essential, though not all, chemical reactions in the pretreatment and carbonization of the CFs. The overall experimental pretreatment and processing times of micron diameter fibers are minutes to hours[96]. While such timescales are far beyond the capabilities and timescale of MD simulations, the fundamental physical and chemical processes take place on timescales of nanoseconds or less, which are accessible by MD simulations. For example, the carbonization mechanism in PAN fiber has been investigated using reactive MD.[94] Indeed, by looking at fibers with thicknesses of a few nanometers, not only are the individual chemical processes present in the larger experimental fibers accessible, but also microstructural changes in the fiber can be characterized, albeit at a small length scale. Recently, atomistic models of CFs beyond simple stacks of graphitic layers have been proposed and have been used to investigate the
properties of CFs. Penev et al.[97] probed the atomistic mechanisms of the tensile failure by designing an atomistic model to represent the basic structural units of CFs, which combined curved graphitic sheets and amorphous carbon region. Joshi et al. [98] generated CF models through reactive MD by inserting many ladder units (smaller graphitic fragments, as described in more detail below) at random positions in the simulation box and then inducing their self-organization by compressing and heating the system to create the turbostratic microstructure of fibers. Although the CF structures generated by this method have similar densities and Young’s moduli to the experimental values, the densities of the simulated CFs lie in the narrow range of 1.64-1.93 g/cm$^3$ and are essentially prescribed by the compression procedure and the potential. However, the density of experimental CFs range from 1.75-2.18 g/cm$^3$; some hollow carbon fibers even have densities as low as 0.6-0.8 g/cm$^3$[89]. Another very attractive carbon fiber model was proposed by Desai et al.[99], which combined MD and kinetic Monte Carlo (kMC) to generate CF microstructures starting from small ladder-like units, which are similar to the ladder units used by Joshi, but simpler. This approach relies on stochastic cross-linking reactions with constraints applied so that the graphitic sheets align along the fiber axis. Both methods presented by Joshi and Desai show the capability to generate high fidelity CF models at the atomic scale, with overall fiber dimensions of tens of nanometers. However, the CF models generated by each of methods have periodic structures, which make them difficult to combine with other materials, such as a polymer matrix, to produce a composite model. Simply embedding the periodic CF structure in other materials leads to many physically unexpected dangling bonds near the free surfaces of the CF. One of the key aims of this work is to develop a nonperiodic CF model, which has the potential for modeling composite materials.
The specific objectives of this work are (1) to develop a method to generate non-periodic CFs microstructure with free surfaces based on Desai’s model, (2) to characterize the microstructure of periodic CF and non-periodic CF models and compare the differences in density, shape, pore size distribution and virtual X-ray diffraction, and (3) to characterize the tensile strength of these models.

3.2 Simulation and Characterization Methods

3.2.1 A Brief Introduction to kMC-MD Model

The kMC-MD model of Desai et al. takes a very thin layer of a large number of identical, vertically aligned multi-carbon fragments, known as ladder units, and allows them to polymerize to form long ribbons of carbon atoms that are irregularly nested in the cross-sectional morphology of a fiber. This is then reproduced along the axis of the fiber to produce fibers of arbitrary length. The ladder unit is shown in Figure 3-1. Each ladder unit consists of eight carbon atoms and is arranged in a hexagonal manner. Such ladder units are capable of forming graphitic ribbons by linking to other ladder units; the ribbon can then be replicated to form the graphitic sheet. To produce a carbon fiber structure, a large numbers of ladder units are packed randomly in the simulation cell with periodic boundary conditions applied in all three directions. The one graphite-ring thick ladder units are perfectly aligned with the longitudinal axis (z-direction) and stand vertically in the x-y plane. The cross-section of the cell (x-y plane) can be varied to produce carbon fiber microstructure with diameters of up to a few tens of nanometers. Specifically, the cross-linking process involves the creation of bonds between the ladder units and is managed by the kMC-MD algorithm developed by Desai et al. This algorithm first identifies unsaturated sp² carbon atom pairs in ladder units that are candidates for bond formation, using prescribed cutoff criteria for the distance $R$ and dihedral angle cutoff $\theta$. Bonds
are created between a specific fraction $\eta$ of candidate ladder units chosen at random. Then, the whole system is equilibrated again. The quasi-2D CF microstructure is generated by repeating many cycles of the above steps. Desai also proposed to vary the cutoffs every interval of cycles in the crosslinking process to obtain a more uniform and realistic microstructure as compared to single-cutoff models. In particular, the primary cutoffs in the model: the cutoff capture distance between reactive carbon atom pair $R_0$, the cutoff of dihedral angle between two ladder units $\theta_0$ and the fraction $\eta_0$ are changed to the secondary values $R_1$, $\theta_1$ and $\eta_1$ every three cycles. The detailed procedure and cutoff values of CF generation are introduced in Section 3.2.2.

Figure 3-1. Top view of the initial system packed with ladder structures. A region of the microstructure and a ladder structure are enlarged for clarity.

3.2.2 CF Generation Procedure

The ladder units are packed in the simulation cell using the Packmol package[100]. In the relaxation of the initial structure and bonds formation process, the simple and computationally efficient Dreiding force field[24] is used to describe the covalent atomic interactions, while the Lennard Jones (LJ) form is used to describe the van der Waals interactions. The Moltemplate package[101] is used to attach the Dreiding force field and bonds information to the coordination
files. The densities of the initial structures are varied from 1.2 g/cm³ to 2.0 g/cm³ by controlling the number of ladders inside the box. The steps in the MD method to generate the CF structure are:

1. Equilibrate the whole system at 300K for 25 ps with a 0.25 fs timestep at constant volume and temperature, with the temperature controlled using the Nose-Hoover thermostat[102].

2. Run the kMC-MD bond formation cycle as described in Section 3.2.1 for 360 loops to ensure graphitization of the CF. The key parameters are the primary and secondary capture distances, $R_0 = 5 \text{ Å}$ and $R_1 = 2.85 \text{ Å}$, the primary and secondary dihedral angle cutoffs $\theta_0 = 60^\circ$ and $\theta_1 = 1^\circ$, the primary and secondary fraction values $\eta_0 = 0.1$ and $\eta_1 = 1.0$. For each loop, a 50 ps NVT equilibration is performed after bond creation.

3. Replicate the quasi-2D microstructure obtained from the graphitization process (step 2) in the z-direction 15 times to generate the 3D microstructure. While the original thickness of the simulation cell was 5.1 Å in the z-direction, after replication it is 76.5 Å; this is the final length of the fiber.

4. Equilibrate the fiber core with the ReaxFF potential at 300 K for 10 ps with a 0.1 fs timestep and zero pressure. As discussed below, the specific application of the constant pressure algorithm depends on the type of CF structure considered.

5. Because of its three-dimensionally periodic structure, the microstructure of fiber core is relaxed in all three dimensions. Since the simulation cell of the thin fiber is periodic only along the fiber direction and the fiber sits in a vacuum in the other two directions, zero-pressure control is applied in the axial direction only. This allows the fiber to undergo thermal expansion in all three dimensions.

The LAMMPS software is used for all MD simulations presented in this work[103]. During Step 4, the physically more realistic ReaxFF (reactive force field) [104] is used instead of the less accurate but more computationally efficient Dreiding potential, which is used in generation Steps 1-3. The parameters of the ReaxFF force field are derived from quantum mechanics and provide a more accurate description of atom interactions than the Dreiding potential. Unlike the Dreiding potential, the ReaxFF potential doesn’t need to explicitly specify bonds between atoms. It allows dynamic bond breakage and formation by using the concept of
bond order within a reactive system. Most importantly, by using the ReaxFF force field, the
generated CF model has a wider field of applicability. Particularly relevant for ablative heat
shields, ReaxFF can describe the reactions between CF and other chemical species, most
specifically both atomic and molecular oxygen. It can also be used to explore the properties of
the interface between CF and other materials, such as the phenolic resin, which is a key part of
the ablative heat management system.

### 3.2.3 Controlling the Shape of CF

Desai’s MD-CF model well describes the cross-sectional microstructure of a carbon fiber.
Because of the periodic nature of the simulation cell, the generated graphitic sheets are
connected with each other from top to bottom and from left to right. Thus, this periodic structure
can be considered to represent a small section of the interior region of a large fiber. We call this
microstructure a fiber core.

We are also interested in the surface structure of the fiber and how the fiber interacts with
the environment. Thus, we create thin carbon fibers with well-defined surfaces. To prepare such
fibers, the ladder units are packed in a cylindrical region in the simulation box. Then, a virtual
energy wall is implemented for the relaxation of the initial ladder structure and the graphitization
simulation. The specific form of the energy wall is unlikely to be important; the equation
defining the energy wall used here has a Lennard-Jones 9-3 form and is given by:

\[ E = 4\epsilon \left[ \frac{2}{15} \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^9 - \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^3 \right] \]

where \( r \) is the distance from the wall, \( \epsilon = 1.0 \) is the strength factor, \( \sigma = 1.0 \text{ Å} \) is the size factor
for wall-particle interaction and the distance cutoff from wall at which wall-particle interaction is
2.5 Å. The implementation of this virtual force energy wall is achieved by the “fix wall/region”
command in LAMMPS. The result is that the fiber is restricted to a cylindrical region at the
center of the simulation cells (see Figure 3-2). After graphitization, a preliminary microstructure is obtained, with the structural units already being connected by the C-C bonds, after which it is no longer necessary to maintain the virtual energy force wall during the final ReaxFF equilibration in step 4. Although the microstructure continues to undergo localized adjustment, the overall shape of the CF doesn’t change even without the constraint of the virtual energy force wall during ReaxFF relaxation. More details will be given in Section 3.3.

![Figure 3-2. Top view of initial and final structures of thin fiber A) The top view of a well-relaxed initial structure after NVT relaxation (Step 1); B) The graphitized structure of thin fiber obtained after bond formation (Step 2), where the virtual energy force wall is represented as a blue dashed cycle.](image)

### 3.2.4 Structural Characterization of Generated CF

A detailed structural characterization of both the fiber core and thin fiber models is conducted, including the shape and density, an analysis of the carbon hybridization, bond angle distribution function, pore size distribution, and a determination of the expected virtual wide-angle X-ray diffraction (WAXD) pattern. The virtual XRD diffraction simulation is conducted using the LAMMPS command “compute xrd” developed by Coleman et al.[105] The Ovito software[21] and its Python interface are used for visualizing the CF models, monitoring the volume change, and characterizing the carbon hybridization of CFs. Unlike the dense fiber core, one third to one-half of the volume of the simulation cell of the thin fiber is open volume,
external to the fiber surface. This enables the thin fiber to develop a three-dimensional irregular shape during the ReaxFF equilibration in generation step 4. The surface irregularity makes the calculation of the volume of the fiber more difficult; thus, to accurately compute the volume of thin fiber, the “Construct Surface Mesh” modifier of Ovito is used to construct a polyhedral surface mesh around the carbon atoms (see Figure 3-3); the actual volume of the fiber is then determined from the enclosed area. To construct a surface mesh, a virtual probe sphere is used to identify the accessible open region where the sphere does not touch any atom. In this work the radius of the virtual probe sphere to identify the open area is chosen to be 12 Å; this avoids counting small internal cavities or pores inside CF as open regions. A pore size distribution (PSD) calculation code[106], suitable for the thin and fiber core model was developed, building on the code of Joshi et al[107], in which the pore size is defined as the maximum distance between two point within the pore. However, our code also filters out the open area outside the thin fiber, thereby capturing the internal porosity. In addition, the efficiency is also improved by replacing the for loops with graph networks[108] using the Boost graph library[109] during the atom bins connectivity check.
3.2.5 Discontinuous CF Model

In the idealized model considered in the section 3.2.2, the graphitic sheets are continuous along the longitudinal direction of the fiber, having neither breaks nor imperfections; by contrast, in a real fiber, these sheets are of a finite length and have defects of various types such as discontinuities (i.e. breaks) in the graphitic sheets, nanopores and amorphous regions.[110,111] Because it is hard to break covalent C-C bonds, it is extremely difficult to break a continuous CF model in tensile tests. In addition, the carbon fibers are not perfectly aligned graphitic sheets and the axes of long graphitic sheets can deviate from the fiber axis by 15-25°[112]. Voids and nanopores can also exist in the real fiber; their concentration and precise nature depend on the type of precursor and the degree of carbonization. Indeed, the tensile strength of a real fiber is much less controlled by the breaking of covalent bonds than by these defects.

Figure 3-3. Illustrations of surface mesh method on thin fiber volume calculation. A) Top view of the thin fiber where carbon atoms are colored as gold. B) Top view of the surface mesh of the same fiber model.
In order to represent the effect of the defects in carbon fibers along the longitudinal direction, each graphitic sheet is severed into two pieces at a random position in the z-direction by removing an entire plane of carbon atoms. In this way, the tensile strength is controlled by both covalent bonds and the weak van der Waals interactions between the sheets rather than by breaking the covalent bonds in continuous model, which is very difficult. The computational process to introduce these breaks is complicated by the morphology of the fiber. In particular, as illustrated in Figure 3-4 A. and Figure 3-4 B., a single sheet can involve a number of branches joined by sp³-bonded carbon atoms. To mimic the finite length of the graphitic sheets along the fiber direction, one plane of carbon atoms is removed at a random z-position, as shown in Figure 3-4 C., in which the removed carbon atoms are shown in red. The closest planes of atoms above and below the defect are labeled in blue and green in Figure 3-4 C. Figure 3-4 D. shows a 3D view of the fiber core, in which gaps between the graphitic sheets are colored in red. After removing these planes of atoms, the whole system is relaxed with constant temperature and pressure (NPT) at 300 K for 10 ps before the tensile simulation. In the tensile test, all samples in this work are stretched along fiber axis (the z-direction) at a strain rate $10^{10}$ sec$^{-1}$, a typical strain rate for computational tensile tests[113,114]. Because the thin fiber is embedded in vacuum, the pressure on thin fiber is calculated as the ratio between pulling force and the cross-section area of the fiber, which is the area of cap identified by the surface mesh method (see Figure 3-3 B.). The relaxation and tensile simulation are performed using the ReaxFF potential.
Figure 3-4. Illustrations of discontinuous fiber model. A) The top view of core fiber where two graphitic sheets are selected and colored as red; B) The 3D view of two graphitic sheets which are selected in A.; C) The 3D view of one graphitic sheet where red carbon atoms will be removed, blue carbon atoms are the top margin of the defect and green carbon atoms are the bottom margin. D) The 3D view of the core fiber and the artificial introduced defects, which will be removed, are colored as red.

3.3 Microstructure of CF

3.3.1 Vacancy Formation Energy

One of the important chemical parameters required in the phase field model is the vacancy formation energy of the carbon fiber. The atomic structure of fiber is very similar to the graphite, which consists of carbon atoms arranged in a hexagonal pattern. The differences between graphite and carbon fiber are that CF has many defects and some sheets interlock in the
fiber. The diameter of the fiber is around several micrometers which greatly exceeds the capable DFT simulation scale, in which typically no more than 500 atoms can be simulated. Therefore, it is necessary to simplify this short-range disordered long-range ordered structure to make it suitable for DFT calculations. As shown in Figure 3-5 A., CFs are treated as several layers’ graphite crystal for simplification. Then the vacancies of graphite are created by removing one, two or three carbon atoms from the perfect crystal (see Figure 3-5 B.). The averaged vacancy formation energy $E_f$ is calculated according to the following equation:

$$E_f = \frac{E_d - E_{bulk} + n\mu}{n}$$

(4-2)

where $E_d$ is the total energy of the defected supercell and $E_{bulk}$ is the total energy of the perfect supercell, which we calculated for supercells of the same size as used in the runs with the defected supercell. $\mu$ is the chemical potential of carbon atom and $n$ gives the number of carbon atoms we removed in the calculation.

The DFT calculations were performed using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [115,116] with plane-wave basis sets and Projector-augmented wave pseudopotentials [117,118] were employed. The pseudopotential is taken from the potpaw_PBE.54 library of VASP and the plane-wave energy cutoff is set to 550 eV. Structural optimization was performed until the forces on each ion were less than 25 meV/Å, using 0.0001 eV as the energy tolerance for each electronic step. Due to the van der Waals force between the sheets, DFT-D3[119] and optB86b[120] two different dispersion corrections are employed to take account van der Waals interactions in the DFT calculations.
Figure 3-5. The lattice of graphite crystal A) which is used for vacancy formation energy calculation B) where one carbon atom is removed from the center (labeled by the red circle)

Figure 3-6 shows the single vacancy formation energy of different size graphene sheet with different van der Waals correction methods, DFT-D3 and optB86b, respectively. Since the graphene layers are arranged as the ABAB packing in the graphite. There are two possible positions for carbon atoms in the unit cell; one is directly above the carbon atom in the next layer. Another one is at the center in hexagon of the next layer. Both methods indicate that the single vacancy formation energy of graphene is in the range from 7.8eV to 8eV and that this value is independent to the size of supercell. Moreover, the position of carbon atom has a small effect on the single vacancy formation energy. Figure 3-7 shows the averaged vacancy formation energy when one, two or three carbon atoms are removed from the graphite supercell. With the increase in the number of vacancies in the supercell, the averaged vacancy formation energy dramatically drops at the beginning but gradually remain a stable value when continually increase the vacancy density. Although the structure of graphene is different from graphite, DFT calculations show the single vacancy formation energy value does not vary too much between
two different structures, which demonstrates it is feasible to use this simplified model to predict the vacancy formation energy of fiber.

Figure 3-6. Single vacancy formation energy of graphite, where the blue bar represents energy computed from the carbon atom above the carbon atom in the next layer and the red bar represents the energy computed from the carbon atom at the center of the hexagon in the next layer. A) single vacancy formation energy of different size graphene sheet using DFT-D3 correction. B) single vacancy formation energy of different size graphite using optB86b correction.

Figure 3-7. Averaged vacancy formation energy when 1, 2 or 3 carbon atoms are removed from the lattice, where the vacancy formation energy are normalized by the number of atoms removed.
3.3.2 Initial Configurations and Snapshots of CF Models

It is anticipated that the density and shape of the fiber will be the key characteristics that will affect their mechanical properties; these are investigated in this work. The configurations for the initial system, as well as other model characteristics such as the system size and number of atoms, are given in Table 3-1. For the fiber core, the volume used for calculating the initial density is that of the simulation box. For the thin fiber, the volume for labeling the initial density is defined as the volume enclosed by the virtual force wall, which is used to produce the fiber. As will be discussed below and as can be seen in Figure 3-8, the actual physical densities of the thin fibers are similar to that of graphite.

Table 3-1. Densities, number of atoms and system size of the initial system used in the generation of CFs as well as the corresponding CF type. For each core fiber, the initial system size is characterized by the length of the simulation box at x, y, z three dimensions. For each thin fiber, the initial system size is characterized by the diameter of circular virtual force wall and the height of the simulation box.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial density (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>Number of atoms</th>
<th>Initial system size (Å)</th>
<th>Carbon fiber shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>12272</td>
<td>200x200x5.1</td>
<td>Fiber core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>14320</td>
<td>200x200x5.1</td>
<td>Fiber core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>16368</td>
<td>200x200x5.1</td>
<td>Fiber core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>18408</td>
<td>200x200x5.1</td>
<td>Fiber core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>19432</td>
<td>200x200x5.1</td>
<td>Fiber core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>19640</td>
<td>200x200x5.1</td>
<td>Fiber core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>13880</td>
<td>240x5.1</td>
<td>Thin fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>16200</td>
<td>240x5.1</td>
<td>Thin fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>18512</td>
<td>240x5.1</td>
<td>Thin fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>20824</td>
<td>240x5.1</td>
<td>Thin fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>21984</td>
<td>240x5.1</td>
<td>Thin fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>22208</td>
<td>240x5.1</td>
<td>Thin fiber</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3-8 shows snapshots taken from structures for both fiber cores and thin fibers after bond formation and ReaxFF relaxation for various densities. The simulation cells for the fiber cores shrink after NPT relaxation; therefore, the actual size of the corresponding systems after NPT relaxation is slightly smaller than these after bond formation cycles although these pictures shown in the Figure 3-8 have same size for tidiness and clarity. The snapshots of the fibers show
that, with increasing initial density, the initial structure of both the fiber core and the thin fiber have more uniform structures and fewer and smaller pores. Comparing the microstructures generated after bond formation, both corresponding core fiber and thin fiber samples keep approximately the structure periodicity along the z axis and have similar shapes after ReaxFF relaxations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Core fiber</th>
<th></th>
<th>Thin fiber</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2 g/cm³</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td></td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 g/cm³</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td></td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 g/cm³</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td></td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8 g/cm³</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td></td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9 g/cm³</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td></td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 g/cm³</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td></td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3-8. Atomistic snapshots of fiber core and thin fiber microstructures after bond formation cycles and ReaxFF relaxation with various initial densities from 1.2 g/cm³ to 2.0 g/cm³. All snapshots are top views of CF microstructures and all carbon atoms are colored as green.
3.3.3 Shapes, Densities, and Pores

An important structural feature that influences CF properties is the presence of pores inside the fiber. Therefore, in this section we analyze the final density, the size and distribution of pores, and the shape of the CFs.

Figure 3-9 compares the initial and final densities of fiber cores and thin fibers. As shown in Figure 6, all fiber core and thin fiber structures lie above the green dash line, indicating that they are all densified after the generation process. The final structures of the fiber cores are denser by 0.1-0.2 g/cm3 after the generation process. By contrast, all of the thin fibers have essentially the same final densities (in the range 2.16-2.19 g/cm3) after step 4. Some of the fiber cores have final densities in the experimental range of 1.75-2.0 g/cm3 for PAN fibers [112], while others are lower. However, thin fiber models have similar final density regardless of the initial densities. These values are similar to the density range of 1.9-2.2 g/cm3 of pitch-based carbon fiber [96] and close to graphite’s density of 2.236 g/cm3 [112].
Figure 3-9. The initial and final densities of fiber core and thin fibers after NPT relaxation with ReaxFF potential. The green dash line indicates the structure of same initial and final density.

Figure 3-10. shows the pore size distributions for the fiber core and thin fiber for various initial densities, respectively. As shown in Figure 3-10, the fiber cores have slightly smaller pores after NPT relaxation. The shape of thin fiber also changes during this relaxation. Thin fibers contain a few large pores before NPT relaxation. However, after NPT relaxation, all the thin fibers have similar pore size distributions, independent of the initial density and initial pore size distribution: the average pore size is 4 nm, with various volume fractions, which indicates that pores shrank and that some were healed during the relaxation. The similar pore size distributions and final densities also indicate that these thin fibers have similar microstructures, even though they have different initial densities and shapes of final structures.
Figure 3-10. Pore size distribution of fiber cores and thin fibers for various initial densities ranging from 1.2-2.0 g/cm³ (PSDs for structure after bond formation and NPT relaxations are colored as blue and orange respectively).

Figure 3-11 shows the total volume fractions of pores in fiber cores and thin fibers for various initial densities. The final volume fraction of pores decreases in the fiber cores with increasing density of the initial structure, while it is independent of the initial density in the thin fibers. More interestingly, with increasing initial density, the volume fractions of pores in the
fiber core gradually decrease to the same level as in the thin fiber. The total volume fraction of pores decreases for both fiber core and thin fiber structures after NPT relaxation. Since most of pores close up in the thin fiber after NPT relaxation, we conclude that the initial density of the thin fiber model doesn’t influence the distribution of pores, but only the shape of final structures and that the density of a fiber region without pores in this model is around 2.2 g/cm³. Moreover, the difference of the pore size distributions of the thin fiber and fiber core shows that the pores survive in the fiber core but heal up in thin fiber after NPT relaxation because of the free surface.

![Graph showing total volume fraction of pores of fiber microstructures for various initial densities ranging from 1.2-2.0 g/cm³ after bond formation (dash lines) and NPT relaxations (solid lines).](image)

3.3.4 Hybridization State of Carbon

One important characterization of the carbon fiber model is the carbon hybridization. Figure 3-12 shows the evolution of the carbon hybridization during bond formation and NPT relaxation of fiber cores for various initial densities. Because the thin fiber microstructures and
the fiber cores undergo similar evolutions, here we only show and analyze the hybridization changes for fiber core samples. Beginning with the initial structures described in the Section 3.3.2, the unsaturated sp hybridized carbon atoms from different ladder units bonded with each other forming sp² bonds, or in a few cases sp³ bonds, hybridized. The sharp decrease of the sp population and increase of sp² population in Figure 3-12 A. and B. show that most of the reactive sp carbon atoms link with each other in the first 500ps, especially for high initial density structures such as 1.9 and 2.0 g/cm³. For high initial density structures, the average distance between unsaturated carbon atoms is smaller, which results in the distance cutoff requirement being easier to meet so that C-C bonds are easier to created and hybridization is increased. Therefore, the slopes of sp and sp² population at initial stage of bond formation are steeper for high initial density structures. The higher initial density also results in the ladder units not having enough space to adjust their position during the graphitization process, which lowers the probability of bond creation. For these two reasons, the 2.0 g/cm³ sample has a very sharp increase in the number of sp² bonds at the beginning of the bond formation. Compared with microstructures of other initial densities, both fiber core and thin fiber structures at 2.0 g/cm³ shows a uniform, almost pore-free microstructure, as shown in Figure 3-8. This is a result of the ladder unit having little space in which to reorient, resulting in a lower population of sp² hybridization after bond formation. Except for the 2.0 g/cm³ initial structure, which has a relatively higher sp population, all other structures reach similar sp and sp² populations after bond formation. Figure 3-12 C. and D. show the sp and sp³ population change during NPT relaxations for fiber cores of various densities. Since the bond creation is explicitly managed by the kMC-MD algorithm, there is no sp³ population generated during the graphitization process.
The ReaxFF potential enables the automatic breaking and creation of bonds during the simulation; therefore, the self-organization and reactive fusing of carbon atoms still happens during this stage. The hybridization profile under NPT conditions shows carbon atoms keep bonding with other atoms and forming more sp\(^2\) C-C bonds. Compared with the hybridization evolution during bond formation in Figure 3-12 A. and B., the change of hybridization is smaller under NPT relaxation, indicating that most of sp\(^2\) carbon atoms formed in the bond formation process. As Figure 3-12 C. and D. show, the sp content rapidly decreases and sp\(^2\) content increases in the first 5ps during NPT relaxation, with the hybridization converging gradually. The sp\(^3\) content increases during ReaxFF relaxation by 2-3% for both fiber core and thin fiber models. Also, a few sp\(^3\) hybridized carbon atoms are detected at the branching position of the...
graphitic sheets during ReaxFF relaxations. All samples, other than the 2.0 g/cm$^3$ sample, have similar sp$^3$ hybridization percentages: about 0.03%. The sp$^3$ concentration in the 2.0 g/cm$^3$ sample is 0.1%, which is still a very small amount compared with the concentration of sp and sp$^2$ boned atoms. As discussed above, the high initial density of these samples prevents the microstructure from evolving due to minimal amount of space available for ladder units to adjust their positions. Low initial density also makes the distance between unsaturated carbon atoms larger than the cutoff and decreases the percentage of sp$^2$ bonds formed. As a result, the sp$^2$ hybridization reaches a maximum for an initial density of 1.6 g/cm$^3$.

### 3.3.5 XRD Analysis

The structures of the simulated carbon fiber models can be analyzed through their XRD patterns; this also enables a direct comparison of key microstructural features, such as interplanar spacing, with experimental results. Again, we only analyze the XRD patterns of the fiber cores because the thin fiber models show similar behavior. The indexing notation we used in Figure 3-13 follows that in Ref. [121], in which the (100) planes are stacked in the zigzag direction and the (110) planes are stacked in the armchair direction of the basal planes of the graphitic sheets.

Figure 3-13 A. shows the calculated virtual XRD profile of fiber core structures of various initial densities, which are presented as stacked curves for clearer representation. All structures, regardless of initial density, have peaks of almost the same intensities at identical positions, except the 2.0 g/cm$^3$ structure which has a slightly broad and less intense peak at $2\theta = 27^\circ$. Figure 3-13 A. thus shows that the initial density doesn’t have a strong influence on the structure. Figure 3-13 B. shows the XRD patterns taken from the 1.2 g/cm$^3$ core fiber model before and after NPT relaxations. The first peak occurs near $2\theta = 27^\circ$ and corresponds to the interplanar spacing ($d_{002}$) in graphite and can be attributed to the graphitic region in the carbon
fiber. According to Bragg’s equation, the interlayer spacing $d_{002}$ value is 0.33 nm. The previous XRD measurements performed on PAN-based carbon fibers with various heat treatment temperatures have reported $d_{002}$ spacings ranging from 0.344 nm to 0.351 nm[122]. The peaks at ~42.5° and 57.6° correspond to the (100) and (004) planes, respectively. These two peaks have also been observed in the previous PAN-based carbon fibers experiments[122]. However, the X-ray diffraction pattern obtained from the experiments shows a relatively weaker (100) peak compared with the peak in these virtual X-ray diffraction patterns. This can be attributed to the higher crystallinity in our fiber model. The peaks at ~74.5° and 76° correspond to the (110) plane in the fiber core before and after NPT relaxation, respectively. Because the (110) plane is parallel to the x-y plane in our model and the number of layers of (110) planes is fixed, this peak not only characterizes the interplanar spacing of (110) planes but also reflects the change in the length of the simulation box along the z direction. The (110) peak is at a higher angle after NPT relaxation, indicating a smaller interlayer spacing $d_{110}$ than the structure before relaxation. This is consistent with the box length shrinkage from ~76.5Å to ~75.2Å for the fiber core structure.

![Figure 3-13. Virtual XRD patterns of fiber core microstructures. A) Simulated virtual XRD pattern from the core fiber model of different densities (1.2 g/cm³-2.0 g/cm³) B) The XRD profile comparison of 1.2g/cm³ core fiber structure before and after NPT relaxation. Similar trends have been observed for the thin fiber model.](image)
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Both the highly ordered graphitic sheets shown in the atomistic snapshots in Figure 3-8 and the sharp XRD peaks in Figure 3-13 result directly from the presence of graphitic crystallites in the fiber core and thin fiber models. The average size of these crystallites can be estimated from the X-ray diffraction pattern using Scherrer equation:

\[ L(hkl) = \frac{K\lambda}{B(2\theta) \cdot \cos\theta} \]  

where \( \lambda = 0.154 \text{ nm} \) is the wavelength of the X-rays, \( \theta \) is the diffraction peak \( B(2\theta) \) of the \( (hkl) \) plane, \( B(2\theta) \) is the full width at the half maximum (FWHM) of the diffraction peak and \( K \) is shape factor which varies with the type of crystal structure. Two values are often used to describe the size of microstructure. The crystallite thickness \( (L_c) \), which is the average size perpendicular to the graphitic sheets, is calculated from the (002) diffraction peak. The crystallite correlation length \( (L_a) \) along graphitic sheets can be subdivided into \( L_{a\parallel} \) parallel with the fiber axis and \( L_{a\perp} \) perpendicular to the fiber axis. \( L_{a\parallel} \) and \( L_{a\perp} \) are associated with (100) and (110) planes, respectively, and can be determined from the corresponding peaks in the diffraction pattern. The shape factor \( K \) is 0.89 and 1.84 for \( L_c \) and \( L_a \), respectively[123]. Since the graphitic sheets in CF models are highly aligned along the fiber axis, the \( L_{a\parallel} \) of CF models are determined by the length of the simulation cell.

Previous experimental studies[123–125] have reported the \( L_c \) for PAN-based carbon fiber varies over the range 1.6-7.8 nm. Although the experimental values of \( L_c \) vary widely because of the different processing treatments, the values thus obtained for both core fiber and thin fiber structures after NPT relaxation show that the generated microstructures have relatively small and similar crystallite size and correlation length, which lie within the range of the experimental values. Both core and thin fiber shows a lower \( L_c \) value for microstructures of 2.0 g/cm\(^3\) initial density compared with samples of other initial densities. Similar to the analysis in the Section
3.3.4, the high initial density hampered the combination and growth of graphic crystallites, which caused the low average graphite crystallite size compared with low initial-density microstructures. The obtained $L_{a\perp}$ value is ~13-16 nm using Scherrer equation, which is higher than the experimental value, ranging from 5-8.4 nm[125]. Similarly, an $L_{a\perp}$ value of ~16 nm is also reported in Desai’s simulation. This overestimation of $L_{a\perp}$ can be attributed to the perfect alignment of fibers along the fiber axis in the model. In a real carbon fiber, the long chains are not straight and deviate from the fiber axis. The probability of higher random crosslinking between chains in experiments can also prevent the formation of long graphitic sheets. Overall, we conclude that the generated microstructures have diffraction patterns that are similar to each other and are similar in many respects to those of PAN-based CFs. Moreover, the fiber core and thin fiber have similar diffraction patterns, indicating that the shape does not influence the characteristics of the generated microstructures. The shift of (110) peaks in the XRD profiles shows the decrease of interplane spacing of (110) plane after NPT relaxation.

Table 3-2. Average crystallite size of fiber cores and thin fibers for various initial densities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>1.2</th>
<th>1.4</th>
<th>1.6</th>
<th>1.8</th>
<th>1.9</th>
<th>2.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L_c$ (nm) (Fiber core)</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_c$ (nm) (Thin fiber)</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.6 Mechanical Properties

In this section, we investigate the mechanical behavior of the CF using the discontinuous carbon fiber model. Of course, this CF model is still much simpler than real CFs. Previous study shows that the high strength PAN-based fiber is composed of carbon micro crystallites[126]. These turbostratic graphitic crystallites are poorly oriented but lie preferentially parallel to the
fiber axis. The simulated crystallites of models are still highly parallel to the fiber axis.

Moreover, crystallites in realistic fibers can twist, interweave, and fold with other crystallites, and are accompanied by dislocations, micro voids and amorphous non graphitic carbon regions[127,128]. XRD and Raman spectroscopy reported that an amorphous region exist in the in CF, in which carbon atoms are mainly sp² hybridized and form cross-linked, non-aligned sheets[129]. Using the Mori-Tanaka’s mean stress method, Ishikawa et al. reported that the torsional modulus is impacted by the volume of the amorphous [130,131]. Thus, a realistic carbon fiber is much more complicated and much more disordered than the simulated models.

Due to the nature of the simulation method and the replication along the fiber direction, there are no misoriented graphitic sheets along the z-direction. The graphitic region is characterized using the criteria proposed by Joshi[98]: (1) The energy of a carbon atom is ~30 meV/atom, and (2) the carbon atoms are in the sp² hybridization state. We find only a few carbon atoms at the edge of the graphite sheet that do not meet this criterion; these have high energy due to their undercoordination. Since all of these microstructural defects and amorphous regions in CF are likely to reduce the mechanical integrity of the experimental systems, the current CF models can be expected to be stronger than real CFs. Therefore, one line of carbon atoms is removed at a random position in each graphitic sheet in the discontinuous model to mimic the presence of defects along the fiber axis. However, this is offset by the very short lengths of the graphitic sheets in the computational models, 76.5 Å, which is substantially less than the experimental values at order of micrometers[132], and likely to make the computational models much more susceptible to the pullout mechanism, described below, than their experimental counterparts.

We observed that some of the artificial breaks in the model graphitic sheets heal during the structural equilibration: this increases the mechanical integrity of the system. In order to
quantify the healing of the broken sheets, we tracked the change of the average width of the breaks between the upper and lower parts of what was originally a single sheet. To investigate the influence of the break width, we removed one, two or three layers of carbon atoms in each sheet. Interestingly, we found the fiber model is independent of the width of breaks: as shown in Figure 3-14 A., the breaks healed to the same average width. Although wider breaks need more time to heal, all sample healed within 2 ps and the structure remained stable throughout the rest of the simulation. Moreover, it showed that there are still some breaks after re-healing, although the gap width is substantially lowered, and partial breaks disappear. Figure 3-14 B. shows the width distribution of breaks of different initial width for 1.8g/cm³ fiber core after the healing processes. Since three distributions with different initial gap width in Figure 3-14 A. show similar patterns, it is evident that the initial width has a weak influence on the final width distribution. No matter what the initial widths of the breaks are, the breaks partially heal to same final width, which corresponds well with the finding in the Figure 3-14 A. that the average break width is nearly independent to the initial width after re-healing. Moreover, Figure 3-14 B. shows some gaps are healed and have width less than 1.8Å, the bond cutoff in the ReaxFF potential, indicating that some covalent bonds are recreated between carbon atoms at the edge of the gap. Therefore, in the tensile simulation, bond breaking still determines the tensile strength but is greatly weakened by the relatively low density of such strong bonds. Figure 3-14 C. shows that the break width increases linearly with tensile strain, which can be attributed to the linear deformation of the simulation cell as function of time in the loading process. Figure 3-14 D. shows the stress-strain curves of 1.8 g/cm³ fiber core models with different initial break widths. As shown in Figure 3-14 A., since the width of the average break is almost the same after the re-healing process, samples should have similar defects features. The three almost identical and
overlapped stress-strain curve demonstrate that the three samples do indeed share same the defect characteristics.

![Diagram](image1.png)

Figure 3-14. The changes of gap width of fiber core microstructure during pre-relaxation and tensile simulation. A) The change in the width of the artificially introduced break during healing process, B) the width distribution of breaks of different initial width for 1.8g/cm³ fiber core after healing process, C) the width change of the breaks during tensile simulation for fiber core structures with different initial densities ranging from 1.2 g/cm³ to 2.0 g/cm³. D) stress-strain curve of 1.8 g/cm³ fiber core with breaks of different initial width.

Figure 3-15 shows the stress-strain curves obtained from tensile simulations for the continuous and discontinuous CF samples. The tensile moduli extracted from stress-strain curves are shown Table 3-3. Figure 3-15 A. and C. show the simulated tensile moduli of both fiber core and thin fiber are much higher than the experimental value of real CF. A previous study[81]
showed commercially available PAN-based CFs have tensile moduli ranging from 230 to 588 GPa with tensile strength ranging from 3 to 7 GPa. Also, the pitch-based CFs have a wider range of tensile modulus from tens up to about one thousand GPa, with tensile strength values from 1 to 4 GPa. As shown in Table 3-3, the continuous CF samples exhibit extreme high tensile moduli 920-1200 GPa and 1210-1275 GPa for the fiber core and thin fiber, respectively. These figures also show that their tensile strengths are approximately five to ten times higher than the real carbon fibers. As Figure 3-15 B. and D. show, the tensile modulus decreases to levels comparable to experimental level values when the breaks are introduced into the fiber core and thin fiber models. As shown in the Table 3-3, the tensile moduli range from 244-413 GPa for discontinuous fiber cores and from 309-376 GPa for discontinuous thin fibers. The insets in Figure 3-15 A. and C. show that the carbon rings begin to break at the yield point in continuous fibers, while the gap width increases in the discontinuous fibers as shown in Figure 3-15 B. and D. By introducing the breaks in the CF, the tensile strength is reduced and can be attributed to the greatly reduced number of covalent bonds that must be broken; this reduction is partially offset the need to overcome the weak van der Waals interactions as the graphitic sheets slide over each other.

Table 3-3. The tensile moduli of continuous and discontinuous fiber core and thin fibers predicted from the tensile simulation. The corresponding strain-stress curves are shown in Figure 3-15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Fiber core</th>
<th>Thin fiber</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Continuous (GPa)</td>
<td>Discontinuous (GPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1028</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1128</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1211</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1177</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3-15. Stress-strain curves predicted in tensile simulation of CF sample for various initial densities and the snapshots of 1.8 g/cm$^3$ samples at yield points. A) Continuous fiber core samples, B) discontinuous fiber core samples, C) continuous thin fiber samples, D) discontinuous thin fiber samples.

The modulus increases with increasing initial density for both the continuous and discontinuous fiber models. Both continuous and discontinuous thin fiber models have similar moduli nearly independent of the initial density. The thin fiber models have similar moduli with the fiber cores of 1.9-2.0 g/cm$^3$. As shown in Figure 3-9 and Figure 3-11, the final density and the total volume fraction of pores of fiber cores approach the values in the thin fibers with increasing initial density, indicating similar microstructures in the high-density thin fibers and
fiber cores. Moreover, unlike the fiber core, the thin fiber is non-periodic in the x-y plane. Because the graphitic sheets at the outer surface of the thin fiber only interact with one side of other graphitic sheets via van der Waals force, pullout of these fibers is easier than in the interior[133]. Due to the periodic conditions, all the graphitic sheets in fiber core interact with two sides of other sheets via van der Waals interactions, which makes the system stronger. Therefore, although thin fibers have similar densities as the fiber core, the tensile modulus is still slightly lower. It is worth noting that the tensile strength of the discontinuous carbon fiber model is still 2-5 times larger than the experimental value. As discussed at the beginning of this section, the strength of real fibers is further reduced by the misorientation, defects, amorphous regions, and micro-voids along the longitudinal direction, which are not captured in this model. Moreover, the high level of alignment along the axis in our fiber model increases the tensile strength.

The transverse moduli of CFs are also computed by conducting tensile simulations in direction normal to the fiber direction. Since there is empty space surrounding the thin fiber, we only compute the transverse modulus of fiber core model. The transverse modulus of CFs has been experimentally evaluated by tensile compression tests[134], showing that the transverse moduli of pitch based and PAN based CFs range from 1 to 4 GPa, while the moduli of some high strength PAN based CFs can be up to 9 GPa.

Figure 3-16 A. shows that the transverse moduli of fiber cores range from 0.2 GPa to 4.5 GPa, which agrees well with experimental result. Since the transverse modulus can be influenced by the alignment direction of graphitic sheets, the modulus along both the X and Y direction is calculated. As the density increases, the modulus generally increases. For the low-density carbon fibers, there are large pores in the fiber core structure which decrease the contact area between
sheets and weakens the Van der Waals interactions. The transverse moduli can also be influenced by alignment direction. As shown in Figure 3-8, most of graphitic sheets aligned along Y direction for fiber core samples which results in the deformation in the X-direction increasing the interplanar spacing between the graphitic sheets by overcoming the Van der Waals interaction. However, for sheets aligned along the Y direction, the tensile force leads to slip between the graphitic sheets, which is easier than pulling the planes apart. Therefore, the transverse modulus at X direction is larger than Y direction for these samples, except for the 1.2g/cm³ fiber core, which has a very thin neck structure at X direction.

Figure 3-16 B. and C. shows the transverse modulus in the X-direction and Y-directions for continuous and discontinuous fiber core model. Since in the discontinuous system, the lines of atoms are removed along the axial direction, the transverse modulus has a similar value for continuous and discontinuous model of same density.

Unsurprisingly, the excess volume in fiber cores accumulates in pores; thus, the porosity decreases with the increase of density. The presence of these small pores influences the properties of the fiber core models. First, they reduce the density. For the thin fiber, most of pores are healed during relaxation resulting in a final density and pore size distribution that is essentially independent of the initial density. The presence of pores influences the mechanical properties of carbon fiber. Low density fiber core samples have low tensile moduli and strength in both longitudinal and transverse directions. This is because the pores lower the number of graphene sheets per transverse cross-sectional area and thus decreases the longitudinal tensile strength and modulus. The voids also decrease the contact area between graphene sheets which are held together by weak Van der Waals forces, resulting in a lower transverse modulus.
Figure 3-16. The transverse moduli of fiber core models A) The transverse moduli at X and Y direction of fiber core of different densities ranging from 1.2-2.0 g/cm$^3$ B-C) The transverse moduli at X direction and Y direction of continuous and discontinuous fiber cores of different densities ranging from 1.2-2.0 g/cm$^3$.

3.4 Summary

We have modeled carbon fiber using a kMC-MD algorithm and ReaxFF reactive force field. The microstructures are generated from initial eight-atom ladder units by creating bonds between unsaturated carbon atoms of different units based on the kMC-MD algorithm. Then the generated 2D microstructure is replicated in the z-direction to form fully 3D microstructures and relaxed by the physically sophisticated ReaxFF reactive force field. Fiber core and thin fiber CF models are generated by controlling the CF shape via a virtual force wall. These fiber core and thin fiber models represent a small section of the interior region of thick fiber and a very thin carbon fiber with a well-defined surface. Extensive characterization of structural features including initial packing densities, final densities of structures, pore size distribution, hybridization state, XRD analysis yielded results similar to experimental results in the literature. Moreover, the mechanical properties of this CF model were investigated, and a discontinuous CF model was proposed by removing one layer of carbon atoms at random position of each graphitic sheet; this resulted in a reduction in the tensile modulus to levels comparable to real carbon fibers. Our key findings are:
1. By controlling the initial packing density, the generated fiber core models can have a large range of the final density from 1.45-2.10 g/cm³. For initial densities up to 1.9 g/cm³, the final density of fiber increases with the increase of the initial density. The final density of 2.0 g/cm³ fiber core is slightly lower than the 1.9g/cm³ fiber core. Since the free surface annihilate most of pores in thin fiber model, the final density of thin fiber model is independent to the initial packing density and the densities of all thin fiber samples are densified to the 2.16-2.19 g/cm³ after generation process.

2. The pore size distribution analysis shows the volume fractions of pores decreased in fiber core with the increase of the density of the initial structure while it is independent to the initial density in thin fiber.

3. Both core fiber and thin fiber models show similar evolution of hybridization state during the generation process. The percentage of sp hybridization decreases to below 10% while it of sp² hybridization increases to above 90% in the generation. No more than 0.1% sp³ hybridized carbon atoms are observed in both fiber core and thin fibers.

4. XRD analysis results show the crystallite thickness $L_c$ and crystallite correlation length $L_a$ obtained from both fiber core and thin fiber models are consistent with experimental values in the literature, although the average crystallite thickness and correlation length among fiber models is relatively smaller than experimental values.

5. By introducing physically reasonable discontinuities in the structures the z-direction, the Young’s modulus of discontinuous fiber core and thins fiber models are in the range of 200-400 GPa, which lies in the modulus range of PAN fiber. However, the tensile strength of discontinuous model is still 2-5 times larger than the experiment value due to the high level of alignment and the absence of other structural imperfection in the model.
It should be noted that the discontinuous model reaches the axial tensile moduli comparable with experimental results. However, covalent bonds and the complex morphologies still dominate the tensile modulus in real fiber. The transverse modulus of both continuous and discontinuous fiber core models is in the range of 0.2-5 GPa which agrees well with the experimental value of transverse modulus of PAN and pitched based CFs.

6. The high-density fiber core has a similar microstructure to the thin fiber because they have similar final densities. The method presented here is a good first step to the construction of a highly realistic carbon fiber model. The thin fiber model is an ideal platform in which to investigate the surface chemical reactions between carbon fibers and reactive gases, most particularly atomic and molecular oxygen. Since carbon fibers are also frequently embedded in polymer or metal matrix to form composites, the thin fiber model also provides an opportunity to investigate the interfacial properties with a matrix such as resin.
CHAPTER 5
GENERATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF AMORPHOUS CARBON USING A LIQUID QUENCH METHOD

4.1 Background

Carbon has a remarkable versatility because it exists in various structures and chemical forms of both ordered and disordered phases. The triple point of carbon, where vapor, graphite, and liquid coexist, is between 4800 K and 4900 K and pressure at \(~10^7\) Pa \((\sim100\ \text{bar})\) [135]. For this reason, many disordered carbon structures may exist in metastable states on the Earth’s surface, where temperature and pressure are respectively around 300 K and 1 bar. These disordered amorphous carbons exist in a broad range of densities from low-density char-like, graphene-like carbon to high-density tetrahedral and diamond-like carbon. For this reason, amorphous carbon has a wide variety of properties and applications ranging from low density, nanoporous carbide-derived carbon[136] with potential applications for gas separation, to high density, diamond-like amorphous carbon[137] for high hardness, low electrical conductivities, and chemically inert cutting tool coatings.

For crystals, the structure is normally determined by inferring or inverting the structure from experimental data such as pair correlation functions and XRD data. However, unique inversion is generally impossible for an amorphous carbon structure because of its smooth pair correlation function and structure factors. There are two widely used approaches to model the disordered structure of amorphous carbon: (a) the Reverse Monte Carlo method [138,139], and (b) the Liquid Quench method [140,141]. The idea of the Reverse Monte Carlo method is based on matching the experimental correlation function obtained from an actual sample with those generated in the molecular simulations from the stochastic sampling of the amorphous materials. The Reverse Monte Carlo method can thus produce an amorphous carbon model that matches the
experimental result (normally the pair correlation result). It is computationally inexpensive and easy to implement. However, since the relationship between the amorphous structure and the pair correlation is not uniquely one to one, Reverse Monte Carlo can produce models with incorrect coordination or chemical order[142]. Therefore, more constraints like multiple scattering data and bond angle distribution are introduced to resolve this issue.

A quite different method for generating amorphous carbon is to try to mimic or capture the chemistry of the formation process of amorphous carbon, namely, liquid quench method. In this method, carbon is quenched from a high temperature liquid to a low temperature solid, which forms as an amorphous carbon structure. In contrast to the Reverse Monte Carlo method, the liquid quench method dose not rely on experimental data and can generate some novel amorphous system that have not been studied experimentally. In this chapter, we focus on using the liquid quench route developed by Ranganathan[141] to generate and characterize amorphous carbon structures. We further compare the amorphous structures generated by REBO and ReaxFF potentials. These results show a reliable way to generate amorphous carbon structures with a wide range of densities and reveal their important structural features.

### 4.2 Computational Methods

The amorphous carbon structure investigated in this study is generated by the liquid quench method that is described in Ranganathan’s work[141]. Liquid quench methods are widely used to generated and model amorphous carbon[143], monatomic metallic glasses[144] and carbide-derived carbons[145]. REBO[146] and ReaxFF[104] potentials are used in this work. Both REBO and ReaxFF are reactive potentials that uses the bond order to automatically manage the dynamic bond breaking and formation. The parameters of both REBO and ReaxFF potentials are derived from quantum mechanics and therefore these two potentials can be applied to the
novel systems that have not yet been studied in the experiments. Compared with the REBO potential, the ReaxFF potential has more complex forms of the potential parameters and higher accuracy but slower computation speed. The overall steps in the liquid quench method are

1. Begin with $N$ carbon atoms arranged at the random position in the simulation cell.
2. Equilibrate the system at 10000 K for 15 ps.
3. Quench the system to 3000 K with a constant quench rate $Q$.
4. Anneal the system at 3000 K for 140 ps.
5. Quench the system to 300 K with the same constant quench rate $Q$ in step 3.
6. Equilibrate the system at 300 K for 10 ps.

In this work, the number of carbon atoms $N$ is set to 1200 and the constant quench rate $Q$ is 50 K/ps. The size of the simulation cell varies with the different densities. The canonical ensemble with constant volume and a damping constant of 70 fs is used in the simulation. The timestep is set to 0.07 fs and the volume of simulation cell is fixed during the whole simulation. All simulations in this chapter are performed using LAMMPS software[103] with “USER-REAXC” package. Using the REBO potential, amorphous carbon structures with densities from 0.5 g/cm$^3$ to 3.2 g/cm$^3$ are generated; using the ReaxFF potential, amorphous carbon structures with densities from 0.5 g/cm$^3$ to 2.0 g/cm$^3$ are generated. The amorphous carbon structures are characterized by structural visualization and detailed analysis including hybridization content, pair correlation function, bond-angle distribution, ring-size distribution, and pore size distribution. Ovito[21] and its python interface is used for the visualization and pair correlation analysis. Issacs[147] and Zeo++[148] software are used for computing the ring-size distribution and pore sized distribution, respectively.

### 4.3 Characterization of Amorphous Carbon

In this section, we investigate the structural properties of the amorphous carbon at the range of densities from 0.5g/cm$^3$ to 3.2g/cm$^3$. Figure 4-1 and Figure 4-2 show snapshots of the
final amorphous carbon structure at various densities using REBO and ReaxFF potentials, respectively. Both REBO and ReaxFF 0.5g/cm$^3$ and 1.0g/cm$^3$ structures are observed to be highly porous. As the density is increased, the simulation cell gradually fills with the carbon atoms, thereby generating less and less porous amorphous structures. At 3.2g/cm$^3$ and 2.0g/cm$^3$ for REBO and ReaxFF potentials, the structure is predominantly graphitic, with graphene sheets parallels stack to each other with additional interplanar bonds. Suarez-Martinez[143] observed the spontaneously evolution of a graphene-sheet like structure under high temperature annealing at 2.24g/cm$^3$ and Ranganathan[141] observed the formation of parallel graphitic sheet like amorphous structure at 2.5g/cm$^3$ using ReaxFF potential by liquid quench method. The 2.0g/cm$^3$ structure using ReaxFF potential shows a similar, but not as well-developed, graphene-like structure. It is expected to be form clearer a graphene-sheet like structure when the density is increased to 2.5g/cm$^3$. Ranganathan also observed that a rigid network of tetrahedrally-coordinated structure, where most of atoms are sp$^3$ hybridized, was generated at 3.2g/cm$^3$ using the ReaxFF potential. However, we observe that a highly parallelly stacked graphitic sheet like structure generated using REBO potential at 3.2g/cm$^3$. This structure is similar to that generated at 2.5g/cm$^3$ using the ReaxFF potential in Ranganathan’s work. The tetrahedrally-coordinated structure is not observed in the 3.2g/cm$^3$ amorphous carbon structure using REBO potential. We attribute this difference as arising from the tendency of the REBO potential to generate graphene-sheet like structured while ReaxFF tends to generate tetrahedrally diamond-like structures at the high density of 3.2g/cm$^3$. 
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Figure 4-1. Snapshots of amorphous carbon at various densities from 0.5 g/cm$^3$ to 3.2 g/cm$^3$ using the REBO potential. All structures have 1200 atoms.

Figure 4-2. Atomistic snapshots of amorphous carbon at various density from 0.5 g/cm$^3$ to 2.0 g/cm$^3$ using the ReaxFF potential.
Carbon atom hybridization is another important characterization feature for amorphous carbon structures. For both ReaxFF and REBO potentials, significant graphitization is observed with most of carbon atoms having sp$^2$ hybridization. With increasing density, the closer packing of carbon atoms leads to an increase of the sp$^3$ hybridization and the decrease of sp$^2$ hybridization. Moreover, compared with the ReaxFF potential, the amorphous carbon structure generated using REBO potential has more sp$^3$ content for the same density. The final sp$^2$ and sp$^3$ content of amorphous structures for various densities from this study and Ranganathan’s work are listed in the Table 4-1. Ranganathan observed 52% of all carbon atoms have sp$^3$ hybridization in the 3.2 g/cm$^3$ structure while only 17.3% carbon atoms in the amorphous structure using REBO potential are sp$^3$ hybridized indicating that most of carbon atoms still keep graphene-sheet like structure.

Table 4-1. The comparison of final sp$^2$ and sp$^3$ content of amorphous carbon structures using ReaxFF and REBO potentials for various densities from this work and Ranganathan’s work[141].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>sp$^2$ content (% , REBO)</th>
<th>sp$^2$ content (% , ReaxFF)</th>
<th>sp$^2$ content (% , Ranganathan's work)</th>
<th>sp$^3$ content (% , REBO)</th>
<th>sp$^3$ content (% , ReaxFF)</th>
<th>sp$^3$ content (% , Ranganathan's work, ReaxFF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4-3 The final hybridization content for amorphous carbon structure for various densities using REBO and ReaxFF potentials, respectively.

Figure 4-4 and Figure 4-5 shows the evolution of carbon hybridization of amorphous carbon structure for various densities during the entire liquid quench process using REBO and ReaxFF potentials, respectively. We observe that the trends of hybridization evolution are almost identical during the liquid quench process although the value of hybridization content is slightly different for two potentials. Except at low density regime (0.5 g/cm$^3$), the sp hybridization content gradually decrease during the liquid quench for various densities. For 0.5 g/cm$^3$ amorphous structure, the sp$^2$ hybridization content initially increases, and then decreases during the liquid quench process. The sp$^2$ content of the amorphous structure increases during the first quench process from 10000 K to 3000 K for both REBO and ReaxFF potentials. The sp$^2$ content stays almost the same during annealing process at 3000K for structures using the REBO potential while it still slightly increases in the first half annealing process for structures using the ReaxFF potential. The sp$^3$ content of the REBO 3.2 g/cm$^3$ structure decreases during the simulation. In addition, the sp$^3$ content of low to intermediate-density structures (0.5-2.0 g/cm$^3$) increases
during the simulation for REBO potentials. However, unlike the evolution trends of the sp³ content of structures using REBO potential which depend on the density, the sp³ content of all amorphous structures using ReaxFF potential decreases during the quench process. In the second quench stage from 3000K to 300K, the hybridization status of carbon atoms basically no changes for structures of various densities using both potentials.

Figure 4-4. The carbon hybridization content for various densities during the liquid quench process using the REBO potential; the temperature profile is shown as a solid blue line.
Figure 4-5. The carbon hybridization content for various densities during the liquid quench process using ReaxFF potential; the temperature profile is shown as a solid blue line.

Figure 4-6 shows the structural characterization of amorphous carbon structures of various densities using REBO and ReaxFF potentials, respectively. The six subpanels show: A-B) pair correlation functions, C-D) bond-angle distributions, and E-F) ring-size distributions, respectively. For a better representation, the pair correlation and bond-angle distributions are shown as stacked curves. REBO and ReaxFF amorphous carbon structures have three main peaks at almost identical positions in the $g(r)$ except for one more minor peak at the left of the highest peak of ReaxFF structures. As shown in the Table 5-2, the highest peak in $g(r)$ occurs at
1.45 Å in both REBO and ReaxFF amorphous structures, corresponding to the sp² carbon-carbon bond length. The second highest peak occurs at 2.5 Å, which agrees well with the value reported in the Ranganathan’s work. The minor peak at the left of the highest peak is at around 1.2 Å, corresponding to the three-membered rings in the ReaxFF structures. The bond length of the three-membered rings is shorter than it of the regular six-membered rings resulting in the occurrence of the minor peak. The bond distributions of both REBO and ReaxFF structures of various densities have a predominant peak at around ~120° corresponding to the six-membered rings in the graphene-sheet like structures. There is another imperceptible peak around ~60° on the left of the dominant peak in the bond distributions of ReaxFF structures, corresponding to the three-membered rings. Moreover, the ring-size distribution results are in good agreement with the previous analysis. Most of rings in the both REBO and ReaxFF structures are six-membered rings except that ReaxFF structures have few three-membered rings. Based on these analyses, the most obvious feature at these densities for both potentials is the six-membered rings, with a small fraction of five and seven-membered rings. In addition, ReaxFF amorphous structures have few three membered rings. Figure 4-7 shows the pore size distributions of amorphous carbon structures for various densities using both the REBO and ReaxFF potentials, respectively. Both potentials show similar trends in that the size of pores decreases with the increase of the density. Moreover, the pore size distribution results show that REBO and ReaxFF have similar pore size for densities from 1.0g/cm³ to 2.0g/cm³. However, in the low-density regime (0.5g/cm³), ReaxFF generates structures having larger pores around ~32 Å than the pores of amorphous structures using REBO potentials, the size of which is around ~26 Å.
Figure 4-6. Structural characterization of amorphous carbon structures of various densities using REBO and ReaxFF potentials A-B) Pair correlation functions C-D) bond-angle distribution E-F) ring-size distribution. The pair correlation and bond-angle distribution functions are shown as stacked curves for a better representation.
Figure 4-7. The pore size distributions of amorphous carbon structures for various densities using REBO and ReaxFF potentials, respectively.

Table 4-2. List of values of peak (P) and its positions (R) of pair correlation functions of amorphous carbon structures for various densities using REBO and ReaxFF potentials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density(g/cm³)</th>
<th>R₁(Å)</th>
<th>P₁</th>
<th>R₂(Å)</th>
<th>P₂</th>
<th>R₃(Å)</th>
<th>P₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REBO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>40.52</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>12.80</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>5.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>21.51</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>11.96</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>68.87</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>13.09</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ReaxFF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>36.47</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>21.89</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>16.53</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4 Summary

In this chapter, we generate amorphous carbon structures for a wide range of densities by liquid quench method using REBO and ReaxFF potentials. The structural features of the amorphous structures are characterized by analyses of the structure visualization, hybridization, pair correlation function, bond-angle distribution, ring-size distribution, and pore size distributions. Our results show that, by controlling the number of atoms and the volume of the simulation cell, the liquid quench method is able to generate amorphous structures from low density 0.5 g/cm$^3$, which is typical of mesoporous char-like carbon, to a high density 3.2 g/cm$^3$, which is similar to nonporous dense stacked graphene-sheet-like carbon. The analyses suggest that both REBO and ReaxFF potentials generate similar amorphous carbon structures in which most of carbon atoms are in sp$^2$ hybridization. However, there are some minor differences between structures using REBO and ReaxFF potential. Amorphous structures using the REBO potential have more sp$^3$ hybridization content than structures using the ReaxFF potential at the same density. Moreover, three-membered rings are observed in ReaxFF structures, while they are not observed in the amorphous structures using REBO potential. ReaxFF and REBO structures have similar pore size distributions for most densities, while ReaxFF structure has larger pores than REBO structure at 0.5 g/cm$^3$. The amorphous carbon structure at the highest density (3.2 g/cm$^3$) using REBO potential still has close stacked graphene-sheet-like structure, whereas Ranganathan[141] observed a diamond-like amorphous carbon structure at the same density due to the different tendencies of ReaxFF and REBO potentials. The oxidation behavior of the low-density structure will be investigated and discussed in the chapter 6.
CHAPTER 6
SIMULATION OF THE INITIAL STAGE OF HIGH-TEMPERATURE OXIDATION OF CARBON FIBER AND AMORPHOUS CARBON CHAR

5.1 Background

Space vehicles experience extreme heating rates when entering a planetary atmosphere at a hypersonic speed. The temperature in the shock layer can be over the 10000 K and the vehicle can experience a heat flux as high as 1 kW/cm$^2$. Therefore, a Thermal Protection System (TPS) is required to maintain the integrity of the spacecraft and to protect it from the high temperature. In some systems, such as the US space shuttle and SpaceX’s Crew Dragon capsule, thermal insulation is used. As an alternative, particularly for single use vehicles, ablative materials are used for thermal protection. While thermal insulation systems rely on the low thermal conductivity of the insulator to limit heat transport to the spacecraft, ablative heat shields rely on the consumption of heat in energetically expensive chemical reactions by low thermal conductivity ablative materials. Phenolic Impregnated Carbon Ablator (PICA) is a typical ablative material developed by NASA AMES research center that offers low thermal conductivity and efficient ablation properties and has been proven successfully used in previous missions including Stardust Sample Return Capsule, Mars Science Laboratory, Origins Spectral Interpretation Resource Identification Security - Regolith Explorer (OSIRIS-REX) and Mars 2020. PICA is designed as a carbon fiber (CF) insulator with a phenolic resin binder. The high temperature of the shock layer created by the vehicle in the entry process not only make PICA oxidize and/or pyrolyze to gaseous products and absorbs a large amount of heat in the ablation, but also dissociates the ambient atmospheric gases. Atomic oxygen is the most reactive species which diffuses through the boundary layer and reacts with the PICA for Earth and Mars entry, although molecular oxygen and atomic nitrogen
is also important. The gases produced by the pyrolysis drive the chemical-reactive shock layer gas away from the spacecraft, providing additional thermal protection. Moreover, the porous carbonaceous char oxidized from the fiber continually absorbs heat and reduces the conduction of heat into the interior of the PICA material; however, it can decompose through sublimation or be removed by spallation. Thus, the pyrolysis process and the oxidation of carbon are central to the ablation of PICA and other carbon based TPS materials. However, since the gas-phase and gas-surface chemistry within the boundary layer in the ablation process is complicated, it is challenging to modeling the oxidation of CF and char under nonequilibrium and hypersonic conditions.

Poovathingal et al. developed a finite-rate model for carbon surface oxidation using data from molecular beam experiments to predict the reaction rates of O, CO, and CO$_2$ at various temperatures. Lachaud et al. developed a multiscale model to investigate the oxidation of a carbon preform and oxidation of a char layer in the ablation process. In addition to macroscopic models, Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulation has also been employed to investigate the pyrolysis of resin. The initial stage of the carbonization of phenolic resin was investigated by Jiang et al. using reactive MD. They identified H$_2$O is the first reaction product and observed other small species such as H$_2$, CO, and C$_2$H$_2$. Bauschlicher and Qi et al. simulated and compared the pyrolysis of phenolic resin with reactive force field (ReaxFF) simulations and density-functional tight-binding (DFTB), and density functional theory (DFT) modeling methods. They also identified the reaction paths associated with the production of CO, H$_2$, and H$_2$O. Desai et al. used carbon nanotube and graphene layers to represent the CF and investigated its effect on the pyrolysis of resin and found that they have essentially no effect on the pyrolysis process. MD simulations by Poovathingal et al.
showed that there is no carbon removal from pristine highly oriented pyrolytic graphite even when ion irradiated with the 5eV atomic oxygen. Both these irradiation results and graphene layer and nanotube results are consistent with the high energy barrier for the removal of carbon from a perfect graphene layer. Poovathingal et al. [163] also found that the pre-existing defects in the CF reduces the energy barrier and leads to increased carbon removal, followed by the rapid growth of an etch pit. The real fiber has a much complicated structure than the pristine graphite, with graphitic sheets arranged to form radial, random, onionskin, skin-core or hollow porous structures depending on the precursors and processing methods [93]. Since CFs also have many pores, defects and sp-coordinated dangling bonds, carbon atom removal in the oxidation process is likely much easier than seen in the previous MD simulations. However, there has been little work on modeling the oxidation process of CF and char during the pyrolysis at the atomic scale due to their complex structure. Recently, several new atomic CF models have been proposed and have made it possible to perform reactive MD oxidation simulations. Desai et al. [99] developed a molecular model to generate CF microstructure from small ladder-like molecules by combining kinetic Monte Carlo (kMC) and MD techniques. Desai’s model was improved upon by Shi et. al [164] using a virtual energy wall to create a CF with a well-defined surface. Ranganathan et al. [141] developed and characterized amorphous carbon at a wide range of densities from 0.5 g/cm³ to 3.2 g/cm³ using a liquid quench method. These new, high fidelity, CF and amorphous carbon models provide an opportunity to model the oxidation process and to investigate the reaction process during pyrolysis at the atomic scale.

The present work focuses on modeling the reaction processes between atomic oxygen and CF/char at high temperature and characterizes the key kinetic properties, including reaction rates and reaction products. This article is organized as follows: Sections 5.2.1 and 0 briefly introduce
the generation method of the CF and amorphous carbon char model. Section 5.2.3 details the overall setting of the MD simulation initialization and characterization methodology. Section 5.2.4 shows the prediction model of oxidation based on MD simulation. Section 5.2.5 discusses how to characterize the adsorbed oxygen atom on the surface of CF and amorphous carbon. Sections 5.3 presents the simulation results and predictions of the oxidation process of CF and char. Section 5.4 contains our conclusions.

5.2 Computational Methods

5.2.1 Generation of the Carbon Fiber Structure

The high-fidelity CF microstructure is generated by the combined kMC and MD method developed by Desai et al.[99] and refined in our previous work[164]. This kMC-MD model lends itself well to model CFs that have complex cross-sectional structures. We have used this method to generate two types of CF models, fiber cores and thin fibers, at a wide range of densities. A fiber core can represent a small cross-section of the interior region of a thick fiber. Compared with other high-fidelity CF models[97,107], the advantage of this kMC-MD method is that it can generate a CF microstructure with a well-defined surface, an capability that is exploited in the thin fibers.

The full synthesis method is described elsewhere[164], but briefly summarized here for completeness. The overall steps in the generation process are (1) pack aligned eight-carbon atom ladder units at random positions in a simulation cell that is very thin along the z-axis, (2) equilibrate the whole system at 300 K for 25 ps, (3) run the kMC-MD bond formation circle for 360 iteration loops to ensure the graphitization of the CF, (4) replicate the quasi-2D microstructure along the z-axis to generate a full 3D structure, and (5) equilibrate the thin fiber structure at 300 K for 10 ps at zero pressure. For the generation of a thin fiber, during the initial
generation of the microstructure, the structural units are constrained to a cylindrical region by a virtual energy wall; this results in a well-defined surface. All key parameters in the generation process are the same as in our previous work[164] except the initial system size. In order to capture more oxidation reactions, the current CF model is larger than it in the previous work. In this work, 61,704 carbon atoms are packed in a thin cylindrical region with a 1.92 g/cm$^3$ initial density. The initial diameter of the fiber is 400 Å, as imposed by the virtual energy wall. In step 4 above, the quasi 2D structure is replicated 10 times to generate full 3D structure; the system thus contains 617,040 carbon atoms after replication.

5.2.2 Generation of the Amorphous Carbon Char Structures

The amorphous char structure used in this study is generated using the liquid quench method developed by Raghavan et al.[141] The overall steps are (1) place $N$ carbon atoms at random positions in the fully periodic simulation cell a constant volume and heat to 10000 K, (2) equilibrate the system at 10000 K for 15 ps, (3) quench the system to 3000 K at a quench rate of 50 K/ps (4) anneal the system at 3000 K for 140 ps, (5) quench the system to 300K, again at 50 K/ps, and (6) anneal the system at 300K with constant volume (NVT) and zero pressure (NPT) for 10ps respectively. This model mimics a gas phase under high temperature equilibration followed by quenching and annealing to form an amorphous carbon microstructure. The time step is 0.07 fs in the generation process, as in Raghavan’s work. More details about this method and the characterization of the amorphous structure can be found in Raghavan’s paper. [5] Since Lachaud et al.[158] modeled the ablation process of the PICA by a macroscopic approach and found the density of the char layer after the ablation to be 0.2-0.3 g/cm$^3$, the initial density of this amorphous carbon char is set to 0.3 g/cm$^3$ with 150,000 carbon atoms in the system. Moreover, we find that not all carbon atoms condense into the amorphous carbon char structure; there are
thus some small carbon species, such as C$_2$, C$_3$, in the structure. Since most of carbon atoms in these species are unsaturated with many dangling bonds, these species are very reactive with oxygen atoms. To avoid the influence of these small species on our result, molecules that have less than 10 carbon atoms are identified and removed from the system prior to the oxidation simulation. In Figure 5-1 A. 1070 atoms are identified as being in such small clusters and colored as bright green; removing these atoms only decreases the number of atoms in the system by 0.7%. Figure 5-1 B. shows the amorphous carbon char structure with these atoms removed; this is the initial structure prior to the oxidation simulation. Compared with the initial density 0.3g/cm$^3$, the current density of the amorphous carbon structure is 0.297g/cm$^3$ after the removal of the unsaturated small molecules.

Figure 5-1. The snapshots of generated amorphous carbon char. A) The carbon char model before the removal of the carbon atoms in the small clusters. B) The carbon char model after the removal of the carbon atoms in the small clusters. Carbon atoms in the small clusters are intentionally enlarged and colored as bright green. Carbon atoms in the char are colored as green.
5.2.3 Overall Simulation Settings

The LAMMPS software[53] is used for all microstructure generation and oxidation simulations presented in this work. During the relaxation of the initial structure and bond formation process (steps 1-3) of the generation of CF, the computationally efficient Dreiding force field[24] is employed to describe the covalent atomic interactions, while the Lennard Jones (LJ) potential is employed to describe the van der Waals interactions. The Moltemplate package[101] is used to attach the Dreiding force field and bonding information to the coordination files. In step 5 of the generation of the CF model and in all subsequent steps and the oxidation simulations, the more physically realistic ReaxFF (reactive force field)[104] is used; this force field has been successfully used to model various novel carbon-like structures, including graphene[165] and carbon nanotubes[113]. Moreover, ReaxFF allows dynamic bond breakage and formation by using the concept of the bond order within a reactive system.

The Ovito software[21] and its Python interface are used to visualize the simulations and to analyze the results of the oxidation simulation. The Packmol package[100] is used for insertion of structural units in the CF generation process and insertion of oxygen atoms in the oxidation models. In order to make the oxidation of the CF and char simulation comparable, the initial concentration of oxygen atom is set to 0.5 mol/L in both models. It should be noted that to increase the chance of reaction and accelerate the oxidation simulation process, the oxygen concentration in this work is much higher than in the atmosphere and mesosphere. Since, as we shall see, the oxidation process primarily involves the oxidation of single carbon atoms to form CO, this high pressure does not introduce chemical reactions not present at low pressures; it merely, increases the reaction rate without changing the overall kinetics. The “Construct Surface Mesh” capability in Ovito is used to construct the polyhedral surface mesh around carbon atoms
for analysis. Although the shape is irregular, the actual occupied volume of generated CF and char models can be accurately obtained from the enclosed area using this method. Figure 5-2 A. and B. show the generated CF and amorphous char models with the surface meshes colored as translucent red. To initialize the oxidation simulations, 7893 (for CF) and 2548 (for char) oxygen atoms are packed to in the empty space of the simulation cell, as shown in Figure 5-2 C. and D. Since the ReaxFF potential uses bond order to determine if two atoms are bond with each other, the minimal distance tolerance between one oxygen atom and the other atoms is set to 2 Å to avoid unnecessary bond formation during the packing process and energy minimization. After oxygen atoms insertion, the oxidation of CF and amorphous char systems are simulated by the following steps: (1) Relax the initial system by energy minimization with the conjugate gradient algorithm, (2) equilibrate the system at the zero pressure and at 300K for 10ps, and (3) rescale the temperature to the target temperature T with the canonical ensemble (NVT) for 90 ps to simulate the initial stage of the oxidation process. To investigate the influence of the temperature on the oxidation process, the target temperature T in each simulation is set to a temperature between 1000 K to 4000 K in 500 K intervals. Moreover, we find that the simulation cell of CF model slightly shrinks after equilibration in the steps 1 and 2, slightly increasing the initial oxygen concentration in the CF simulation to 0.53 mol/L. In addition, although the simulation cell of the amorphous carbon char doesn’t shrink during the equilibration, we observe that many oxygen atoms are adsorbed onto the surface of the amorphous char due to the large surface area. Therefore, the initial free oxygen concentration in the amorphous carbon char model decreases to 0.46 mol/L after equilibration. Periodic boundary conditions are applied, and the time step is 0.1 fs in the oxidation simulation. The analysis of the species is performed with a 1.8 Å cutoff for all atom pairs to determine if bond forms between atoms. The molecular species present are
inventoried every 10 fs. These data provide detailed information for the analysis of the initial stage of the oxidation.

![Figure 5-2. The snapshots of fiber and char models after oxygen insertion. A) 3D view of the generated CF model with the surface mesh. The simulation cell is hidden for a better view. B) 3D view of the generated amorphous carbon char model with the surface mesh. C-D) The oxidation models of CF and amorphous carbon char after oxygen atoms insertion. The carbon atoms are colored as green. The surface mesh area is colored as translucent bright green in A-B. Oxygen atoms are colored as red in C-D.](image)

5.2.4 Reaction Parameter Analysis

The dynamic snapshots of MD simulation contain detailed information of the trajectory of all atoms. Therefore, every atom that makes up each molecule can be tracked. By tracing the path of each atom through its various bonding environments, the lifetime of each species can be
identified and counted separately. Since the true lifetime of any gaseous species that is present at the end of the simulation cannot be determined, they are not considered in the lifetime calculations.

The CF-oxygen and char-oxygen reaction mechanism is fairly complicated. It is beyond the scope of this paper to take account all the factors that influence the initial stage of oxidation of CF and char. A necessary and sufficient oxidation mechanism is described here based on Schwartzentruber’s model[156], where he uses finite-rate gas–surface reaction models to predict macroscopic behavior of CF oxidation such as mass loss rates and rate parameters. As shown in Table 5-1, we consider several possible reaction mechanisms and analyze six oxidation models. The complexity of each model is determined by the number of specific chemical reactions that are included in the analysis. In all of these models, we assume that all reactions are first order elementary reactions and that the rate is only related to the instantaneous concentration of reactants. Based on Schwartzentruber’s model and our findings in Section 5.2.5, oxygen atoms can be adsorbed and desorbed on the empty sites of the surface of CF and carbon char. Reactions 1 and 2 in Table 1 account for the reversible oxygen adsorption/desorption mechanism, where (s), O and O_s are an empty site on the carbon matrix, atomic oxygen and surface-adsorbed oxygen atoms respectively. Reactions 3 and 4 describe the reaction of carbon atoms in CF/char with the atomic and adsorbed oxygen atom to generate CO respectively. Although the initial large separation of atomic oxygen atoms means that there is little formation of oxygen molecules during the equilibration, it is possible for oxygen molecules to be generated during the oxidation simulation at high temperature. This mechanism is described in reaction 5. Reaction 6 is the dissociative adsorption of the O_2, where one of the O atoms from the O_2 molecules adsorbs on an empty carbon site. Reaction 7 describes the possible dissociative adsorption of CO_2, where one
of the O atoms from the CO₂ molecule adsorbs on an empty carbon site. Reactions 8 through 12 describe several possible reaction paths to generate CO or CO₂. We also find some small molecular species products generated in the oxidation simulation (see discussions in Sections 5.3.1 and 5.3.2). Thus, reactions 13 and 14 account for the generation of these small species, represent as CₓO; most of these are actually C₂O; In reaction 15, used only in model 2, the atomic and adsorbed oxygen atoms are treated as a single entity for oxidation of the CF or char.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanisms</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
<th>Model 5</th>
<th>Model 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) O + (s) → Oₛ</td>
<td>k₁₁[O]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Oₛ → O + (s)</td>
<td>k₂₂[Oₛ]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) C + O → CO</td>
<td>k₃₃[O]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) C + Oₛ → CO</td>
<td>k₄₄[Oₛ]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) O + O → O₂</td>
<td>k₅₅[O][O]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) O₂ + O + Oₛ</td>
<td>k₆₆[O₂]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) CO₂ → CO + Oₛ</td>
<td>k₇₇[CO₂]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) C + 2O → CO₂</td>
<td>k₈₈[O][O]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) 2C + O₂ → 2CO</td>
<td>k₉₉[O₂]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10) CO + O → CO₂</td>
<td>k₁₀₁₀[CO][O]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11) C + O₂ → CO₂</td>
<td>k₁₁₁₁[O₂]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12) CO₂ + C → 2CO</td>
<td>k₁₂₁₂[CO₂]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13) Cₓ + O → CₓO</td>
<td>k₁₃₁₃[O]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14) Cₓ + Oₛ → CₓO</td>
<td>k₁₄₁₄[Oₛ]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15) C + O/Oₛ → CO</td>
<td>k₁₅₁₅[O/Oₛ]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the number of oxygen atom in the CₓO species is fairly small (no more than 3% of the total number of oxygen atoms), for simplicity they are not considered separately in models 1-5 but treated as part of Oₛ. For these models, the number of Oₛ is calculated by subtracting the number of oxygen atoms in O, O₂, CO and CO₂ from the total number of the oxygen atoms in the system. The Oₛ and CₓO are separately considered in model 6, where the number of Oₛ is counted based on the method described in section 5.2.5. The number of CₓO is calculated by subtracting the number of oxygen atoms in O, Oₛ, O₂, CO and CO₂ from the total number of the oxygen atoms. Each reaction has a corresponding reaction rate; for example, the adsorption rate from
reaction equation 1 is $k_1[O]$ where $k_1$ is the temperature-dependent reaction constant and $[O]$ is the concentration of oxygen atoms. The rate of this reaction can also be expressed as:

$$\frac{d[O_s]}{dt} = k_1[O] \quad (6-1)$$

Therefore, the ordinary differential equations in the models can be combined together and rewritten as a system of ordinary equations. For example, the rate of O consumption and CO generation in model 3 can be rewritten as:

$$\frac{d[O]}{dt} = -k_1[O] + k_2[O] - k_3[O] \quad (6-2)$$
$$\frac{d[CO]}{dt} = k_3[O] + k_4[O_s] \quad (6-3)$$

Since the evolution of the concentration of species as a function of time is obtained from the MD simulations, the slopes of the curve are the instant reaction rates. Therefore, this system of ordinary differential equations transforms to the linear equations. These linear equations are fitted to the simulation data to get the rate coefficients. Figure 5-3 compares the fitted time dependence of the concentration of species calculated by model 6 with the actual concentrations obtained from the oxidation simulation of CF at 3500K. The activation energy $E_a$ and the pre-exponential factor $A$ can be obtained by fitting reaction constants in the linearized Arrhenius law:

$$\ln(k) = \ln(A) - \frac{E_a}{RT} \quad (6-4)$$

where $R$ and $T$ are ideal gas constant and temperature, respectively. The error of the fitting model is calculated by:

$$error = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} |x - x'| \quad (6-5)$$

where $x$ and $x'$ are the concentration of the species of the simulation and prediction results at every 10 fs in the simulation, respectively. Since each model fits several species concentration
curves as the function of time for different temperatures, the error is averaged by the number of the fitting curves $M$ and the number of the simulations at various temperatures $N$. The error analysis and comparison between models will be discussed in Section 5.3.

Figure 5-3. The predictive curve of species fitted to model 6 (red curves) and the data obtained from the MD simulation (blue curve) from the oxidation simulation of CF under 3500K.

5.2.5 Oxygen Adsorption on Surface

During the oxidation, the main products observed are CO, O$_2$ and CO$_2$. Therefore, the primary processes of interest are the formation of CO, O$_2$ and CO$_2$ from the initial atomic oxygen atmosphere. As shown in the Figure 5-4(a), the fraction of initial oxygen atoms in four main gaseous species ($N_O + N_{CO} + 2N_{O_2} + 2N_{CO_2}$)/$N_{total}$ is not conserved during the whole initial stage of the oxidation, where $N_{total}$ is the total number of oxygen atoms in the system. However, since the total number of oxygen atoms $N_{total}$ in the simulation is fixed, this indicates that additional processes are also taking place. We observed that almost all of the uncounted
oxygen atoms are adsorbed on the surface of the carbon fiber, as evidenced by Figure 5-4(c-d). And the total number of oxygen atoms $N_{total}$ can be refined as following:

$$N_{total} = N_O + N_{CO} + 2N_{O_2} + 2N_{CO_2} + N_{O(s)} + N_{C_xO}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6-6)

where $N_O, N_{CO}, N_{O_2}, N_{CO_2}, N_{O(s)}$ and $N_{C_xO}$ are the number of O, CO, O$_2$, CO$_2$, O, and C$_x$O, respectively. Because the carbon fiber is composed of many independent graphitic sheets, each sheet can be identified as an independent molecule $C_m$ in the simulation, where $m$ is the number of carbon atoms in the sheet. The graphene sheets that adsorb oxygen atoms are identified as the $C_mO_n$ where $n$ is the number of oxygen atoms in the molecule. Compared with the small chemical species $C_m'O_n'$, graphene sheet has a very large value for $m$ and a small value $n$. Therefore, the molecule $C_mO_n$ is identified as the graphene sheet with oxygen adsorption where $m - n > 100$ and oxygen atoms in this kind of $C_mO_n$ are identified as atoms adsorbed on carbon surface. It should be noted that the threshold value 100 that determines whether the molecule is graphene sheet or other kind of species is somewhat arbitrary. We identified this value as suitable work by trying the different values and comparing the filtered results. As shown in Figure 5-4 B., after considering oxygen atom adsorption, the number of oxygen atoms accounted for by the four gaseous species and on the surface of fiber is more than 97% of the total number of oxygen atoms in the simulation at all temperatures. Moreover, we found that main species in the left unaccounted molecules C$_x$O is C$_2$O with a very short lifetime due to the high reactivity. A detailed discussion about the lifetime of C$_2$O and other species will be presented in section 5.3.1. Figure 5-4 C-D. and Figure 5-4 E-F. show the direct evidence that oxygen atoms adsorbed on the surface of the fiber and amorphous carbon at different simulation time, respectively. The small molecules are intentionally hidden and only graphene sheets or amorphous carbon meeting the above requirement are shown in these figures. Although the minimum distance between one
oxygen atom and another atom (either C or O atom) is initially set to 2 Å to avoid the formation of unnecessary bonds, it is inevitable that few oxygen atoms adsorb on the surface of carbon and char during the energy minimization process as evidenced in Figure 5-4(c) and Figure 5-4(e).

Figure 5-4. The snapshots of oxygen adsorption on the surfaces of fiber and amorphous char. A) Total fraction of initial oxygen atoms in four main species O, O₂, CO and CO₂ at different temperatures. B) Total fraction of initial oxygen atoms in O, O₂, CO and CO and adsorbed on the fiber surface at different temperatures. C) The snapshot of the initial state of the carbon fiber with adsorbed oxygen. D) The snapshot of the
carbon fiber with adsorbed oxygen at 30 ps under 2000 K. E) The snapshot of the initial state of the amorphous carbon char with adsorbed oxygen F) The snapshot of the amorphous carbon char with adsorbed oxygen at 30ps under 2500 K. Carbon and oxygen atoms are colored as gold and red, respectively. The size of oxygen atoms is intentionally enlarged for the better view. Only molecules identified as graphene sheet are kept in the C-F.

5.3 Results and Discussion

5.3.1 Analysis of the Oxidation of Carbon Fiber

As shown in the Figure 5-5 A., oxygen atoms have a relatively long lifetime at low temperature and a short lifetime at high temperature. With increasing temperature, oxygen atoms become more and more reactive and therefore the reaction rate increases, which lowers the average lifetime of oxygen. The concept of occurrence measures how often the species is generated and consumed. For example, if a particular oxygen atom appears as atomic oxygen atom at the beginning of the system, then reacts to be part of another species, which subsequently decomposes with products that include the atomic oxygen, then the occurrences for this oxygen atom as atomic oxygen is two. The occurrence of oxygen increases with increasing temperature, reaches a maximum at 4000 K and then decreases from 3500 K to 4500 K. We attribute the initial increase to a number of processes. First, with increasing temperature, atomic oxygen atom is more frequently adsorbed and desorbed from the surface of the carbon fiber surface. Second, a number of metastable species are generated in the MD simulation; because these metastable species are short lived, the atomic oxygen generated from the decomposition of the metastable species increases the number of its occurrences. It is interesting that the number of occurrences of atomic oxygen decreases from 3500 K to 4000 K. It seems that at these high temperatures many oxygen atoms react with fiber at the beginning of the simulation. Therefore, most of CO is already generated in the first half of the simulation. This consumes oxygen so quickly that both surface adsorption and generation of metastable species are largely suppressed. As shown in the
Figure 5-5 B., the average lifetime of $O_2$ is fairly short compared with that of the atomic oxygen. However, unlike O, the average lifetime of $O_2$ increases with the increase of temperature. The number of occurrences for oxygen molecules also increases from 1000 K to 2500 K and then decreases from 3000 K to 4500 K. The initial increase is due to the formation of molecules from the highly reactive atomic oxygen; the decrease is due to the rapid generation of CO at high temperature which decreases the possibility of formation of $O_2$ since most of O are consumed for CO formation. The lifetime of CO is long compared with other species (seeing Figure 5-5 C.) and largely independent of temperature. The occurrence of CO increases with the increase of temperature indicating that more CO involved with the reaction. As we discussed in section 5.2.5, the oxygen atoms in the four main gaseous species O, CO, $O_2$, CO$_2$ and adsorbed oxygens $O_s$ account for more than 97% of the total oxygen atoms, the remaining 3% oxygen atoms mainly are in small molecules, mainly in the dicarbon monoxide (C$_2$O) molecules, which is extremely reactive and short lived. Indeed, as shown in Figure 5-5 D. and E., the lifetime of CO$_2$ and C$_2$O less than 3 ps, indicative of its highly reactivity. This short lifetime of C$_2$O may be one reason that it not observed in the experiment. The occurrence of C$_2$O increases with increasing temperature, indicating that this molecule is frequently generated and then decomposes at high temperature. Figure 5-5 F. shows the average lifetime of $O_s$ decreases with the increasing temperature from 2000 K to 4500 K, indicating increasing reactivity. Also, the increase of number of occurrences of $O_s$ with increasing temperature shows that oxygen atoms are easier adsorbed on the fiber surface at high temperature but also quickly desorbed.
Figure 5.5. (A-F) The average lifetime (blue bar) and occurrences (orange line) of O, O₂, CO, CO₂, C₂O and O₃ at from 1000 K to 4500 K during the oxidation simulation of CF.

Figure 5-6 shows the distribution of the lifetime of atomic oxygen as a function of temperature. These distributions are fitted by the gaussian kernel distribution estimation. Although the location of the peak of the distribution is almost independent of temperature, the range of the distribution shrinks with increasing temperature indicating increasing reactivity of atomic oxygen, corresponding well with the previous analysis of the average lifetime for atomic oxygen. The concentration changes of the major species as function of time are shown in the Figure 5-7. It is unsurprising that the consumption rate of atomic oxygen increases with increasing temperature, consistent with the higher reactivity at high temperature. The generation rate of molecular oxygen also increases with temperature. However, at high temperature the concentration of molecular oxygen decreases with time after the appearance of an initial peak. We attribute this decrease to decomposition to atomic oxygen at high temperature and the reaction of O₂ to other species. It does however seem that molecular oxygen does not react with other species at low temperature; it is likely that this is due to the short simulation time, ~0.1 ns, during which such reactions are rare. The rate of generation of CO increases significantly from
1000 K to 4500 K. The concentration of CO at 4500 K saturates with time because the oxidation process is now complete with essentially all of the initial oxygen having reacted to CO: there is little $O_2$, $CO_2$, $O_s$ and $C_xO$ remaining. It is likely that if the simulations at lower temperature were run for longer, they would also finally reach completion; indeed the 3500 K and 4000 K simulations are both approaching complete reaction of $O$ to CO. Similar to $O_2$, the concentration of $CO_2$ at high temperature increases initially and then decreases indicating the decomposition from $CO_2$ to $O$ and $CO$, which corresponds well with the analysis of average lifetime of $CO_2$. As shown in Figure 5-7, the concentration of $O_s$ also increases at first and then decreases. At low temperature, the number of $O_s$ increases showing that oxygen atom tends to adsorb on the fiber surface and not be reactive, which is also indicated by the nearly constant number of $O_s + O$ as function of time; we discuss the long-time evolution below. At high temperature, $O_s$ is initially adsorbed on the surface then reacts with other atoms or desorbs from the fiber surface, both of which can lead to the decrease of the number of $O_s$ after the peak. The total number of $O_s + O$ atoms decreases with increasing temperature, showing that oxygen atom tends to react with other atoms and transform to other molecules at high temperature. Another interesting aspect is that the highest concentrations of these intermediate molecules are not at the highest temperature; the peaks of concentration for $O_2$, $CO_2$ and $O_s$ are 3500 K, 3000 K and 2000 K, respectively.
Figure 5-6. The lifetime distribution of atomic oxygen at different temperatures from 1000 K to 4500 K and fitted by the gaussian kernel density estimation (blue line).
Figure 5-7. The concentration of O, O₂, CO, CO₂, O₅ and (O₅+O) as function of time during the oxidation simulation.
By fitting the six models, we obtain the reaction constants of each reaction equations at different temperatures. By fitting the reaction constants at different temperatures, we find that the computed reaction constants for all of the species that have substantial concentrations follow the Arrhenius equation, as expected. For species with very low concentrations, the data is too noisy to perform a quantitative analysis. The reactions for which Arrhenius fits can be made, yield estimates of the activation energy that are consistent among the various models, as shown in Figure 5-8. It should be noted that the reaction rates at 4500 K are not included for Model 1, 2 and 4 because of the unideal fitting. We found that the three important reactions (a) \( O + (s) \rightarrow O_s \) (b) \( C + O \rightarrow CO \), and (c) \( O + O \rightarrow O_2 \) follow the Arrhenius equation. The activation energies with the error bars for the three reactions are listed in Table 5-2. The activation energy for oxygen adsorption is similar with that for the oxygen molecule generation and the activation energy for carbon oxidation is around 57 kJ/mol. In addition, the error of each model, as defined by Eq. 6-5, is shown in Table 5-2. Since Models 1-3 include largely the same reaction equations, it is not surprising that they have similar errors. Compared with Models 1-3, Models 4-6 have smaller errors due to the larger number of fitting parameters in the system of reaction equations. It is interesting that adding more reaction equations in the model does not lead to a better fit result and less error. Compared with the 12 reactions in Model 5, Model 4 uses 8 reactions to describe the processes, and yet has a smaller error. Since Model 4 has the least error of Models 1-5, which don’t consider \( \text{C}_3\text{O} \), we use this model to predict the species change in a longer timer scale up to 500 ps (see Figure 5-9). As is expected, the O is consumed much faster at high temperature than at low temperature. In addition, almost all O transform to CO at 3500 K and 4000 K. For the rest of temperatures, the concentration of CO still increases more time is needed.
to completely deplete the O. Except at the low temperature 1000 K, the concentration of O increases first and then gradually decreases. The highest peak of O occurs at 2000 K.

Figure 5-8. Logarithm of the reaction rate against the inverse temperature for different reactions A) $O + (s) \rightarrow O_2$ B) $C + O \rightarrow CO$ C) $O + O \rightarrow O_2$ for the oxidation of CF.

Table 5-2. The activation energy of reactions fitted from model 1 to model 6 and the prediction error of each model for the oxidation of CFs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$O + (s) \rightarrow O_2$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$C + O \rightarrow CO$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$O + O \rightarrow O_2$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>17.12±2.60</td>
<td>58.87±7.05</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>22.88±3.83</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>22.03±3.52</td>
<td>54.94±4.55</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4</td>
<td>19.68±3.54</td>
<td>58.60±4.18</td>
<td>20.64±2.45</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 5</td>
<td>19.48±2.99</td>
<td>58.41±4.91</td>
<td>23.48±2.77</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 6</td>
<td>21.94±3.72</td>
<td>53.13±5.68</td>
<td>25.10±2.92</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5-9. The predicted concentration of O, O₃ and CO for CF as function of time by model 4 for the oxidation of CF.

5.3.2 Oxidation of Amorphous Carbon

Figure 5-10 A-F shows the average lifetime (blue bar) and occurrences (orange line) of O, O₂, CO, CO₂, C₂O and O₃ for amorphous carbon char at from 1000 K to 4500 K during the oxidation simulation. Similar with the result of the oxidation CF, the lifetime of the oxygen atoms decreases with the increase of the temperature. However, the overall lifetime of oxygen in amorphous carbon char simulation is smaller than it in the CF fiber oxidation. Although the initial oxygen concentrations are very similar, char has a much larger surface area than CF (4588 vs 646 nm²) by the estimation of the surface mesh. Therefore, we expect the reaction rate on the surface of char to be larger than on the surface of the CF. Unlike the occurrence of O in the fiber
oxidation, the occurrence of O remains in a similar level in the char oxidation. As shown in the Figure 5-10 B., the average lifetime of \( \text{O}_2 \) is also increases from 1000 K to 4000 K and then decreases from 4000 K to 4500 K. Compared with CF oxidation simulation, \( \text{O}_2 \) has a longer lifetime and a much smaller occurrence in oxidation simulation. With the increase of the temperature, the occurrence of CO increases in a similar manner as it does with the CF oxidation. However, it is interesting that the lifetime of CO decreases from 1000 K to 2500K and increases from 2500 K to 4500 K. It should be noted that the species counted in the lifetime calculation are all consumed in the reactions and transformed to other species. We found that average lifetime of CO that last at the end of the simulation is 56~72 ps at various temperature and the number is much larger than the number of CO involved in the reactions. Therefore, most of CO are final product. For the partial intermediate reactant CO, the best suitable reaction temperature is around 2500 K in the char oxidation simulation. At lower temperature, the reaction constant is too low resulting in the higher lifetime. At higher temperature, the reaction that consumes CO need to compete with other reactions which lowers the probability that CO react with other molecules and increases the lifetime of CO. As shown in Figure 5-10 D. the lifetime of CO at the temperature from 1000 K to 3500 K is fairly short and similar with the result in fiber simulation. But unlike the fiber simulation, \( \text{CO}_2 \) has a higher lifetime at 4000 and 4500 K. Moreover, the occurrence of \( \text{CO}_2 \) increases from 1000 K to 2000 K and decreases from 2000 K to 4500 K. The peak indicates that the reactions that consume or produce \( \text{CO}_2 \) mostly occur at 2000 K. Figure 5-10 E. shows the occurrence of \( \text{C}_2\text{O} \) also increases from the 1000 to 4500K. Also, the average lifetime of \( \text{C}_2\text{O} \) in char oxidation is larger than it in CF oxidation. Figure 5-10 F. shows the average lifetime of \( \text{O}_s \) has its largest value, over 20 ps, at 2500 K. This lifetime value of \( \text{O}_s \) in the char simulation is larger than it in the CF simulation at the same temperature. Since the total
number of oxygen atoms in char oxidation is only about one-third of the number in the fiber simulation, most of species undergo fewer reactions in the char oxidation than in the fiber simulation at the same temperature. However, the occurrence of $O_3$ is higher in the char simulation at low temperature because of its large surface area.

Figure 5-10. A-F) The average lifetime (blue bar) and occurrences (orange line) of $O$, $O_2$, CO, $CO_2$, $C_2O$ and $O_3$ at from 1000 K to 4500 K during the oxidation simulation of amorphous carbon char.

Figure 5-11 shows the change in the concentration of the major species as a function of time in the amorphous carbon char oxidation. As in the case of the CF oxidation, the consumption rate of atomic oxygen and the generation rate of CO increases with the increasing temperature. However, due to the smaller system and larger surface area of char, the slope of O and CO curves are steeper than these in CF oxidation indicating the higher reaction rate. Moreover, the curves of $O_2$ and $CO_2$ are also similar with those in the CF simulation: they increase with increasing temperature and then decrease at high temperature. Similar with the CF oxidation, the curve of $O_3$ is also increase with temperature and then decreases, indicating similar
adsorption and desorption mechanisms. The total number of O$_2$+O atoms decreases with time, showing that atomic and adsorbed oxygen atoms react to form to other molecules. The O$_2$+O curve reaches almost zero at around 50ps in the char simulation at 4500 K. The completion time of O$_2$+O is shorter than it in CF simulation. In conclusion, the reaction mechanisms in the char and fiber oxidation are similar. However, oxygen atoms tend to more readily adsorb on the surface of char due to the larger surface area compared with carbon fiber.
Figure 5-11. The concentration of O, O₂, CO, CO₂, O₅ and (O₅+O) as function of time during the oxidation simulation.
We use the same models to fit the oxidation curves for the oxidation of the amorphous carbon char. Moreover, since the concentration of the $O_2$ and CO$_2$ is low in the amorphous carbon char simulations, only O, CO and $O_s$ curves are used for fitting models. By fitting the models 1-6, we find these models can get a good fitting for the temperature ranging from 2000 K to 4500 K. Therefore, the unideal fitting results for simulations at 1000 K and 1500 K are disregarded. We found only two reactions (a) $O + (s) \to O_s$ (b) $C + O_s \to CO$ follow the Arrhenius law (see Figure 5-12). The reason that the $C + O$ reaction does not follow the Arrhenius law is that, as shown in Figure 5-11, most oxygen atom are adsorbed on the surface of char at the initial stage of the simulation. This leads the $C + O_s \to CO$ to be the dominant reaction in the char oxidation. The activation energies of these two reactions and the fitting error of models are listed in the Table 5-3. The average activation energy of $O + (s) \to O_s$ among model is 36kJ/mol which is larger than the value in the fiber oxidation. The average activation energy of oxidation reaction $C + O_s \to CO$ is around 162 kJ/mol much larger than the activation energy of $C + O \to CO$ in the fiber oxidation. Moreover, model 4 give relatively lower prediction of the activation energy of the oxidation reaction around 137 kJ/mol. Since the oxygen is adsorbed on the surface of char, desorption of CO from char surface also need to overcome the energy barrier. That could contribute the higher oxidation energy in the char simulation.

Although the activation energy of reactions in char oxidation is higher, the pre-exponential factor is also higher. Therefore, the reaction rate in the char oxidation is higher than the reaction rate in the fiber oxidation. Model 4 also has the lowest fitting error among 6 models. Therefore, it is also selected to predict the evolution of species up to 200 ps (see Figure 5-13). The trends of prediction results are similar with the result of the oxidation of fiber. Also, the highest peak for $O_s$ also occurs at 2000 K for the char oxidation simulations at the range from 2000 K to 4500K.
Figure 5-12. Logarithm of the reaction rate against the inverse temperature for different reactions A) $O + (s) \rightarrow O_s$ B) $C + O_s \rightarrow CO$ for the oxidation of amorphous carbon char.

Table 5-3. The activation energy of reactions fitted from model 1 to model 6 and the prediction error of each model for the oxidation of amorphous carbon char.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$O + (s) \rightarrow O_s$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$C + O_s \rightarrow CO$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>33.50±0.51</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>31.32±1.32</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>38.66±1.68</td>
<td>171.11±7.99</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4</td>
<td>32.13±2.33</td>
<td>137.00±14.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 5</td>
<td>40.71±1.99</td>
<td>166.89±8.20</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 6</td>
<td>40.56±2.14</td>
<td>174.66±7.34</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5-13. The predicted concentration of O, O₈ and CO for CF as function of time by model 4 for the oxidation of amorphous carbon char.

5.4 Summary

Large-scale reactive MD simulations are performed for carbon fiber and amorphous carbon char. High fidelity of CF and amorphous carbon char models are constructed by the combined kMC-MD and liquid quench methods, respectively. We demonstrate the ability of the CF and char models to simulate oxidation at high temperature using the MD method. We find that CO is the main product in the simulation of both CF and amorphous carbon, which is in agreement with the result of the molecular beam experiments of CF[157]. In the oxidation
process, we found that atomic oxygen tends to adsorb on the surface of the carbon fiber and char. Other species, most significantly \( O_2 \), \( CO_2 \) and \( C_xO \), are also found in the oxidation process but at a much lower concentration than \( CO \). The main species in the small molecules \( C_xO \) is \( C_2O \), which is highly reactive and has a short lifetime compared with other species. Six reaction models are proposed. For CF oxidation simulation, the oxygen adsorption \( O + (s) \rightarrow O_s \), carbon oxidation \( C + O \rightarrow CO \) and oxygen formation \( O + O \rightarrow O_2 \) follows the Arrhenius law and have activation energy 21 kJ/mol, 56 kJ/mol and 23 kJ/mol. For amorphous carbon oxidation simulation, the oxygen adsorption \( O + (s) \rightarrow O_s \) and carbon oxidation \( C + O \rightarrow CO \) follows the Arrhenius law and have average activation energy 37 kJ/mol and 159 kJ/mol respectively. Moreover, the longtime evolution of the concentration of each is also predicted for both CF and amorphous char using the lowest error model.

Overall, we have demonstrated the great potential of these models for the simulation of oxidation of carbon fiber and amorphous carbon. These models can provide insights into the reaction mechanism that take place during the oxidation process. Because the oxidation process is so complex, detailed analysis and advanced methods are needed in the post-processing of data. For example, since the snapshot contains the trajectory of every atom, it is possible to separate each individual reaction from the simulations. Then the rate of each reaction can be computed by directly counting the number of individual reactions occurred in the simulation. Such an approach was previously successfully applied in the analysis of hydrogen peroxide decomposition[166]. However, unlike the hydrogen peroxide decomposition, separating individual reactions in this work is substantially difficult since many individual reactions can occur on the surface of CF/char at the same time.
CHAPTER 7
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

This dissertation has investigated the materials behavior under extreme conditions and addressed three important problems. First, molecular dynamics is used to investigate mechanical properties and deformation behavior of $\delta$-ZrH$_2$ in the high-stress environment to increase the understanding the dislocation mechanism, thereby helping the design of the next generation of zirconium-based cladding. Second, the high-fidelity CF and amorphous carbon char models are generated using kMC-MD and liquid quench method, respectively. Not only do the generation methods make it possible to investigate more complicated CF/char-based composites, but also the characterization of CF and amorphous char improves the understanding of the microstructures, which can help to design the high-modulus carbon fiber. Last but not the least, the oxidation process of CF and amorphous carbon char are simulated under high temperature and the species evolution in the oxidation is studied.

In Chapter 3, the mechanical properties of ZrH$_2$ are calculated and compared with the DFT calculation results. We find the mechanical stability of ZrH$_2$ is ensured by the COMB3 potential by meeting the stability conditions for cubic systems. The MD simulations of nanoindentation are performed on the (100) and (110) planes to investigate the anisotropic deformation behavior of ZrH$_2$. By setting up the different initial conditions of simulation, such as various indenter speeds and the thicknesses of the active layer, we find the speed of the indenter doesn’t have a significant influence on the simulation results while the thickness of the active layer does affect the behavior. The substrate effect becomes weaker with the increasing thickness of active layer and the system size. Moreover, the force vs. indentation curve shows that simulation results follow Hertz Law in the elastic region. The dimensionless load and hardness agree well with the experimental results while the hardness calculated from the indentation is...
overestimated by the COMB3 potential. The yield behavior is observed in the indentation simulation on the (100) surface but not on the (110) surface. The phase change is also captured in the simulation. The Zr atom sublattice changes from ABCABC (fcc) to hexagonal (ABAB) close packing in the highly deformed region until the first load drop, while the H atom sublattice remains simple cubic lattice due to the small atom size. The predominant dislocation identified in the nanoindentation for both (100) and (110) surfaces are \{100\} \langle110\rangle dislocations. Newly nucleated dislocations parallel with the surface are observed for indentation on (100) surface and the small dislocations are also observed for indentation on (110) surface with the indentation of the indentation depth. In chapter 3, the factors that influence the deformation behavior have been studied and the deformation mechanism of \(\delta\)-ZrH\(_2\) has been clarified.

In Chapter 4, the CF model is improved based on Desai’s kMC-MD model using the ReaxFF force field. By combining the kinetic Monte Carlo method with large-scale MD, we generate two types of CF models at a wide range of initial densities (from 1.2 g/cm\(^3\) to 2.0 g/cm\(^3\)) by controlling the CF shape with virtual force wall. These fiber core and thin fiber models represent a small section of the interior region of large fiber and a very thin carbon fiber with a well-defined surface, respectively. The generated fiber core models can have a large range of final density from 1.45g-2.10 g/cm\(^3\) depending on the initial packing density. While the final density of the thin fiber is independent of the initial packing density since free surface annihilate most of pores. By extensively characterizing the structure features of the CF including the pore size distribution, XRD analysis and hybridization state, the fidelity of both fiber core and thin fiber models is ensured. In addition, the mechanical properties of CF are investigated by tensile simulations. Discontinuous CF models are proposed by removing lines of carbon atoms at random position in each graphene sheet to mimic the effect of the defects in the real CF along the
longitudinal direction, which reduces the tensile modulus to levels comparable to real CF. Moreover, the axial tensile moduli of continuous and discontinuous fiber core model are in the range of 0.2-5 GPa which is in good agreement with the experimental value for PAN and pitch-based CFs. Compared with using graphite or carbon nanotube to model CF, the high-fidelity CF model proposed in this work provides a better choice for simulation scientists to investigate the properties of CF. Moreover, the proposed thin fiber model with the well-defined surface serves a good foundation for modeling carbon-based composites or gas chemistry on CF surface.

In Chapter 5, amorphous carbon structures with a wide range of densities from 0.5 g/cm$^3$ to 3.2 g/cm$^3$ are generated using REBO and ReaxFF potentials by a liquid quench method. The structural features of amorphous carbon structures are characterized by analyzing the hybridization, pair correlation function, bond-angle distribution, and ring-size distribution. By controlling the number of atoms and the volume of simulation cell, the liquid quench method is able to generate amorphous structures from low density 0.5 g/cm$^3$, which is typical of mesoporous char-like carbon to high density 3.2 g/cm$^3$, which is similar to nonporous dense stacked graphene-sheet-like carbon. The characterization results show the generated carbon structures by ReaxFF and REBO potentials have similar structural features from 0.5-2.5 g/cm$^3$. By comparing Ranganathan’s result, ReaxFF tends to generate diamond-like carbon while REBO tends to generate dense stacked graphene-sheet-like carbon.

In Chapter 6, oxidation simulation under high temperature from 1000K-4500K are performed for CF and amorphous carbon using large-scale reactive molecular dynamics. We first demonstrate that the CF and char models, which are generated by the methods described in Chapters 4 and 5, have the ability to simulate oxidation process at various temperatures. CO is found as the main product during the oxidation simulation for both CF and amorphous carbon.
char, which matches well with the findings in Minton’s molecular beam experiment.[167] A number of oxygen atoms are found to be adsorbed on the surface of CF and amorphous carbon char. The average lifetime and lifetime distribution of O, CO, and other species, particularly O$_2$, CO$_2$, C$_x$O, are analyzed. By analyzing the evolution of the concentrations of the major species as the function of time, the oxidation reaction mechanisms are investigated. Moreover, by fitting the simulation results into six reaction models, we find several key reactions follow the Arrhenius law and have similar activation energies among different models. In addition, the curves of species change as function of time are predicted by the least-error model for CF and amorphous carbon char. These models can provide goods insight on reactions mechanism in the oxidation process during the ablation.

The plastic deformation behavior investigated in this dissertation gives us insights into how dislocation structures grow under nanoindentation in a high-stress environment. Moreover, this dissertation proposes an approach for modeling carbon-based amorphous microstructures including CF and mesoporous char, and such approaches can be embedded into more complicated models by combining with other metal or polymer systems. Our findings in the oxidation simulation of CF and amorphous char elucidate the powerful application and potential of these models for investigating structural properties of materials and non-equilibrium reactions in the chemically aggressive environments which is difficult for experiments. The research findings in this dissertation show that how models and simulations help research people strengthen the understanding of the materials response under extreme conditions. Moreover, these findings can be transferred to the mesoscale models, such as phase field model, served as part of key parameters for a better prediction of the pyrolysis behavior of PICA.
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